

**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND AARON WILLIAMS, INTERNATIONAL CHURCH OF LAS VEGAS
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN (excused at 5:00 p.m.) and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L. B. McDONALD (arrived at 9:14 a.m.), WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session) and CHIEF DEPUTY CITY CLERK BEVERLY K. BRIDGES

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:  
Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board  
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road  
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway  
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall  
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:03)

**1-1**

ELDER CHRIS CONEDY, Living World Ministry, Church of God in Christ, gave the invocation.

(9:04 – 9:05)

**1-14**

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:05 – 9:06)

**1-40**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001 Announcements – Continued

### **MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed COUNCILMAN REESE'S daughter, who was in the audience.

(12:06)

**2-3904**

MAYOR GOODMAN remarked that he is the happiest Mayor in the world and Las Vegas is a very special place to him and his family. As such, it is very important to him that Las Vegas is the safest, best City in the world. Unfortunately, there is the threat of the transportation of nuclear waste through the community en route to Yucca Mountain, if it is used as a nuclear repository.

He announced that a meeting would be held that evening where he and others would be expressing their concerns and feelings to representatives of the federal government. The environment there would be hostile, because it would not be inviting and open to citizens who would like access, redress, and the ears of federal government representatives. Those in opposition to the nuclear waste would be walking past guards through barbed-wire fences in order to get to the Department of Energy to express how serious Las Vegas are about ensuring the safety of this community. He stressed that a nuclear spill would spread carcinogens within a 42 square mile area. He invited everyone in the audience to attend the meeting at the Department of Energy, 232 Energy Way, North Las Vegas.

(9:16 – 9:21)

**1-388**

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that that COUNCILMAN McDONALD would be getting up throughout the meeting due to pain in his knee.

(2:03)

**4-220**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF CITIZEN OF THE MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN BROWN recognized BEN SPRAGUE as Citizen of the Month for September for his dedication to Mountain Ridge Little League and the local youth, often sacrificing his family. He started as a safety officer in little league and soon ascended to president. His family is also very involved in the Mountain Ridge Little League. MR. SPRAGUE handles all challenges with poise.

MR. SPRAGUE was surprised to be recognized. He thanked COUNCILMAN BROWN and the entire Council. He said that even though there are a lot of issues, it is a joy to be involved in Little League, especially when he sees the children playing.

(9:06 – 9:10)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 05, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION TO MIRNA AVALOS FOR BEING NAMED THE 2001 BOYS & GIRLS CLUB OF AMERICA YOUTH OF THE YEAR

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted at the meeting: Certificate of Recognition for Mirna Avalos

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN REESE honored MIRNA AVALOS for being named the 2001 Boys and Girls Clubs of America Youth of the Year. He indicated that she is very talented and dedicated to making things better for youth. She is an exceptional young lady and is involved in many charitable organizations.

MS. AVALOS thanked the City of Las Vegas for its support of the Boys and Girls Clubs of America. COUNCILMAN REESE assured that the City would continue to work with the Boys and Girls Club and declared September 5, 2001, as Mirna Avalos Day.

DEBBIE VIGAS, Boys and Girls Clubs of America, expressed her appreciation for the continued support of the City of Las Vegas to the Boys and Girls Clubs and for the recognition of the many wonderful youth in the community.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that MS. AVALOS is very articulate and she has a wonderful story to tell as far as how she has arrived at where she is and what she hopes to achieve.

(9:10 – 9:13)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF THE SENIOR ARTS SHOW

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN introduced LISA STAMANIS, Visual Arts Supervisor, Leisure Services Department, who commented that the City of Las Vegas Arts Commission recently held a competition for seniors in Southern Nevada. Over 150 entries were received. She recognized the following six winning artists: SAMMIE ELKINS – First Place, LANGLEY M. NEWMAN – Second Place, LARRY SITNOSKI – Third Place, BUD SHANK – Fourth Place, and LESLIE SHARP and ALBERT “BUDDY” BAILEY – Honorable Mentions.

MAYOR GOODMAN invited the audience to view the various art pieces displayed in the Chambers and congratulated the winners.

(9:13 – 9:16)

**1-303**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 97, 98, and 100 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, requested that Item 16 be held in abeyance to the 9/19/2001 Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(9:21 – 9:23)

**1-540**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

**1-622**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of notification of filing of Declarations of Interest in property located in the existing Redevelopment Areas

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Nevada Community Redevelopment Law (NRS 279.454) requires any officer or employee of the City who participates in the formulation of or approval of plans or policies for the redevelopment area to disclose any direct or indirect financial interest he/she has in said property; and, if any officer or employee owns, purchases or acquires any interest in such property, they shall make a written disclosure of said interest on the minutes of both the City Council and the Redevelopment Agency.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

By approving this notification, the Council acknowledges the names of those persons filing a Disclosure of Interest as indicated in Attachment C and that Attachment C is made part of the minutes of today's City Council Meeting.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo containing procedure used by the City Clerk for appropriate filings;
2. Distribution List (Attachment A);
3. Name and title of those City employees submitting Disclosure of Interest forms (Attachment B);
4. Completed filings for those persons with an interest to declare (Attachment C)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**  
**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – City Clerk

Item 3

**MINUTES:**

Under Item No. 1, JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, requested that Item No. 16 be held in abeyance to the 9/19/2001 Council meeting.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested that Item No. 12 be pulled for discussion.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested that Item Nos. 79 and 80 be pulled for discussion.

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

**1-622**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: DETENTION AND ENFORCEMENT**

**DIRECTOR: MICHAEL SHELDON**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Modification of Intergovernmental Agreement with the U. S. Marshal Service to incorporate medical guard/court transportation services into the existing contract (\$50,000 - estimated annual revenue)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$50,000 - (estimated revenue)

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas Department of Detention and Enforcement currently generates approximately \$6 million in general fund revenues by contracting with the U.S. Marshal Service and Immigration and Naturalization Services to house their federal detainees. This modification is necessary to incorporate medical guard/court transportation services into our existing contract, which would add an additional general fund revenue of approximately \$50,000 annually.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Intergovernmental Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS**

**DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Amendment to an Interlocal Agreement with the Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) for fare collection and maintenance of fare collection equipment (\$12,000 - General Fund) - Wards 1, 3 and 5 (M. McDonald, Reese and Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$12,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On December 21, 1999 City Council approved an interlocal agreement with the RTC for fare collection, preparation of deposit, deposit of fares, data collection, dissemination of data and maintenance of six fare boxes. This amendment will expand the scope of work provided by the RTC.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve an amendment to an interlocal agreement with the Regional Transportation Commission for fare collection and maintenance of fare collection equipment in the amount of \$12,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Amendment to Interlocal Agreement for the Downtown Transportation Center

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIELD OPERATIONS**

**DIRECTOR: LARRY HAUGSNESS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the renewal of an Interlocal Agreement with the Las Vegas Housing Authority for repair, maintenance, fuel and after hour call out services for vehicles and equipment (\$100,000 revenue)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** Revenue \$100,000

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On June 21, 2000 City Council approved a one year interlocal agreement with the Las Vegas Housing Authority for city provided repair, maintenance, fuel and after hour call out services for their fleet of approximately ninety (90) vehicles and equipment. This agreement extends the term of those services for an additional year and modifies the pricing structure. The agreement period is from July 1, 2001 through June 30, 2002. It is anticipated that a gross revenue of \$100,000 will be generated from this agreement.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the ratification of an interlocal agreement with the Las Vegas Housing Authority for repair, maintenance, fuel and after hour call out services resulting in an estimated revenue of \$100,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Maintenance of Vehicles and Equipment Interlocal Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 07/16/01 - 07/31/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 28,840,339.28
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,524,353.83
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 32,514,773.25
Total NBS and City Investments	\$ 0.00

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of funds transfer from Parks & Leisure Capital Project Fund (CPF) to Traffic Improvements CPF (\$150,000 Capital Projects Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$150,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Parks & Leisure CPF

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Request approval to transfer \$150,000 of bond interest from the East Las Vegas (ELV) Community Center Project to the Traffic Improvement Fund for the purpose of funding a signal light and left lane extension at Eastern and Ogden. The traffic improvements are required to mitigate anticipated traffic congestion and safety hazards relative to the Clark County School District bus yard directly south of the ELV Community Center.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a transfer of appropriations between two projects within the City Facilities Capital Projects Fund (CPF) to fully fund Bid Number 0100092-TC, Furnish and Install Chiller at City Hall, and additional electrical upgrades relative to the installation (\$82,600 - City Facilities CPF)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$82,600**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Budget & Finance Division**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: City Facilities CPF**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Hall Chiller Project currently has a budget of \$77,400. Bid Number 0100092-TC, to furnish and install the chiller, was approved for \$140,000 at the August 15, 2001 Council meeting. An additional \$20,000 will be needed for the electrical portion of the project. The Council Chambers Renovation Project has experienced some scope adjustments and no longer requires the level of authorized budget it was provided.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of the transfer of appropriations, in the amount of \$82,600, from the Council Chambers Renovation Project to the City Hall Chiller Project in the City Facilities CPF to fully fund the purchase, installation and required upgrades.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Stratosphere Tower Casino & Hotel, Location: Stratosphere Tower Casino & Hotel temporary outdoor amphitheatre, 2000 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Date: October 12, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: John Michael Montgomery Concert, Responsible Person in Charge: Christina Rogers - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Las Vegas Basque Club, Location: Sammy Davis, Jr. Festival Plaza, Lorenzi Park, 3333 West Washington Ave., Date: October 14, 2001, Type: Special Event General, Event: 20<sup>th</sup> Annual Basque Festival, Responsible Person in Charge: Jose Beristain - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Danny J. Falco / Royal Festivals, Location: 1400 North Rampart Blvd., Date: September 6-9, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Family Fun Carnival, Responsible Person in Charge: Danny J. Falco - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to the additional security officers for a total of ten, additional Detention Officers and Metro Police Officers as needed – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN not voting**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: The subject matter was trailed pending a recommendation from MR. SHELDON or MR. DiFIORE as to the number of security staff that should be present at this event for adequate traffic and crowd control.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD advised that she wanted to pull the item from the consent agenda because she wanted to ascertain that there would be adequate provisions made for security and traffic control for the subject event. MIKE TARKINGTON, Las Vegas Sports Park, indicated that eight security officers have been hired for the event for a crowd of 2,000 to 8,000 for the four-day event. The largest event ever held at the Sports Park was an Easter Egg Hunt for 18,000 people over a four-hour period in April of this year.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 12

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, and MIKE SHELDON, Director, Detention Enforcement Department, if eight security guards would be sufficient security for the magnitude of this event. MR. DiFIORE commented that the security staff was increased from its original three, but that the number still seems low given the expected crowd. In other similar events there is significantly more security provided for crowd and traffic control.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested a recommendation from staff as to the number of security staff that should be typically present at these types of events. MR. SHELDON responded that he would have to confer with MR. TARKINGTON and review the application in order to assess the security needs for this event. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested the matter be trailed for an hour so that staff could meet with the applicant to define the security needs.

After Items 79 and 80, the subject matter was brought back. MR. DiFIORE indicated that MR. TARKINGTON increased the security staff to ten and MR. SHELDON agreed to provide four or five detention officers, who will be in touch with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Northwest Area Command for any additional support needed.

MR. TARKINGTON explained that two security guards would be placed at the parking lot, four walking the perimeter, one on the roof, and two at the gate. Video surveillance will also be conducted in four locations.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD felt satisfied with the resolution and made a motion for approval.

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that recently when there was a concert held at the Trails Park there was a sheet delineating the criteria for security. He wondered if those criteria had been waived for this event.

MR. SHELDON pointed out that the Sports Park is operated privately and when functions are held in other parks, arrangements are made through the Department of Leisure Services for adequate security. COUNCILMAN BROWN argued that the Sports Park is a City-owned facility; therefore, he would not like any of the policies established for City facilities to be waived, especially when it involves public safety. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD verified with MR. SHELDON that the security standards would be met and felt comfortable in approving the application.

There was no further discussion.

(9:27 – 9:31/11:11 – 11:14)

**1-748/2-1246**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Thai Cultural Art Association of Las Vegas,  
Location: Charleston Heights Arts Center, 800 Brush Street, Date: September 13, 2001, Type:  
Special Event General, Event: Thai Performing Arts Appreciation Day 2001, Responsible Person  
in Charge: Seng Phanvilay - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE  
Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he  
owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License for Mexican Patriotic Committee, Location: Freedom Park, Mojave Road & Washington Ave., Date: September 16, 2001, Type: Special Event Beer/Wine, Event: Family Picnic, Responsible Person in Charge: Eddie Escobedo - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Albertson's, Inc., dba Sav On Drugs 9007, 10400 West Charleston Blvd., Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Family Food II, dba Family Food II, 1602 H Street, Salar Shoshani, 50%, Thamer Jarjees, 50% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Package Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: American Drug Stores, Inc., dba Sav On Drugs #9037, 562 North Eastern Ave. (Non-operational), Kevin H. Tripp, Dir, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas, Paulette Dewire, Asst Secy, Barbara A. Hall, Asst Secy, To: Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's #6016, 10250 West Charleston Blvd., Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Package Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, From: Jamal Jeberaeel, 100%, To: Raghid Kosa, dba Apollo Market, 1600 North Jones Blvd., Raghid Kosa, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License, Jacado, Inc., dba Bennigan's Irish American Grill & Tavern, 6750 West Sahara Ave., Bennigan's of Las Vegas, Inc., Manager, Eugene Caldwell, Dir, Pres, Todd M. Watson, Dir, SRVP, Secy, Treas - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Supperclub Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for a Nonprofit Club General Liquor License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Improved Benevolent Protected Order of Elks of the World, dba Harry C. Montgomery, Jr. Lodge #1759, From: 1054 North Rancho Drive (Non-operational), To: 33 East Oakey Blvd., Rodney E. Colbert, House Chairman - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for a Nonprofit Club General Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officer for a Nonprofit Club General Liquor License, Loyal Order of Moose, Inc., dba Red Rock Moose Lodge #252, 4970 West Charleston Blvd., Albert J. Foulkrod, Governor - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Nonprofit Club General Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License, Angel Park, LLC, dba Angel Park Golf Club, 241 South Rampart Blvd., Carl R. Von Hake, Key Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Tavern Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Texaco Star Mart, 1500 West Charleston Blvd., YSR, Inc., Mgr, Robert E. Boyer, Dir, Pres, Sean Copeland, Secy, Treas - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Texaco Star Mart, 598 North Eastern Ave., YSR, Inc., Mgr, Robert E. Boyer, Dir, Pres, Sean Copeland, Secy, Treas - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Texaco Star Mart, 9991 West Charleston Blvd., YSR, Inc., Mgr, Robert E. Boyer, Dir, Pres, Sean Copeland, Secy, Treas - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Texaco Star Mart, 298 South Decatur Blvd., YSR, Inc., Mgr, Robert E. Boyer, Dir, Pres, Sean Copeland, Secy, Treas - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License, Bertolini's at Village Square, Inc., dba Bertolini's Authentic Trattoria, 9500 West Sahara Ave., Ralph J. Lemle, Dir of Ops - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Supper Club Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: Kyong Sun Oh, dba Oh's Convenience Store and Deli II, Kyong S. Oh, 100%, To: Talavera & Talavera, dba Xpress Tapatia's Food & Market, 861 North Nellis Blvd., Suites 1, 2 & 3, Gustavo Talavera and Irma Y. Talavera, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Gaming License, Four Queens, Inc., dba Four Queens Hotel & Casino, 202 Fremont Street, Philip W. Madow, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Tavern Liquor License and a Non-restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Manager for a Brew Pub/Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to continued compliance with Gaming Control Board filing requirements, Chicago Brewing Co., Inc., dba Chicago Brewing Co., 2201 South Fort Apache Rd., Craig E. Tribus, Gen Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Manager for a Brew Pub/Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to continued compliance with Gaming Control Board filing requirements

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Spickler & Spickler, dba 7-Eleven Food Store #27904C, 8639 West Sahara Ave., Steven R. Spickler and Paula G. Spickler, 100% jointly as husband and wife - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval of the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, United Coin Machine Co., db at Apollo Market, 1600 North Jones Blvd. - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Albertson's #6016, 10250 West Charleston Blvd - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 10 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Cardivan Company, db at Sav On Drugs #9007, 10400 West Charleston Blvd. - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 10 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 2 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Westronics, Inc., db at Loyal Order of the Moose #1763, 1600 Gragson - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 2 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Officer for a Slot Operator Gaming License, Anchor Coin, dba Anchor Coin, 815 Pilot Road, Suite G, Thomas J. Matthews, CEO, Pres, Joseph Murphy, VP, Anchor Gaming, PTC, 100%, Thomas J. Matthews, CEO, Pres, Joseph Murphy, Dir, COO, VP, Geoffrey A. Sage, CFO, Treas, Secy - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Officer for a Slot Operator Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for a Burglar Alarm Services License, ASLC, Inc., dba From: Safevillage, 600 Congress Ave., Suite 200, Austin, TX, To: ASLC, Inc., 8601 South Eastern Ave., Suite 9, Harris H. Bass, Pres, Daniel J. Pike, VP, Dorothy A. Bryant, Secy, Karen L. Miller, Treas, Larry E. Smith, QE - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for a Burglar Alarm Services License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Eli M. Moran, dba Eli M. Moran, 7135 West Ann Road, Suite 110, Eli M. Moran, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Tina Grazioso, dba Tina Grazioso, 7504 Fencerow Street, Tina M. Grazioso, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Loretta Y. J. Tamura, dba Loretta Y. J. Tamura, 1409 Unbridled Circle, Loretta Y. J. Tamura, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Alan J. Kite, dba Red Rock Massage Company, 507 Red Canvas Place, Alan J. Kite, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Tracey Lee Roberts, dba Mind Body Connection, 1750 South Tenaya Way, Tracey L. Roberts, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Erika Kessler, dba Erika Kessler, From: 2601 Grand Canyon Drive, Unit 1077, To: 4774 Ashington Street, Erika L. Kessler, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Sandra C. Geier, dba Your Uh! Tension! Please, From: 6345 Formula Way, To: 4248 Spencer Street, #331, Sandra C. Geier, 100% - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Susan C. Rickman, dba Susan C. Rickman, From: 5650 West Charleston Blvd., #9, To: 1208 Olvera Way, Susan C. Rickman, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Body & Spirit Massage, Inc., dba Body & Spirit Massage, Inc., From: 9100 West Desert Inn Road, To: 1533 Padova Drive, Nicolette K. Davis, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Yumin Han, dba Yumin Han, From: 4601 West Sahara Ave., Suite K, To: 3201 West Sahara Ave., Suite B, Yumin Han, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Denise Slocum, dba A Touch of Heaven, From: 9901 West Sahara Ave., #2179, To: 129 Fremont Street, Denise D. Slocum, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License, Personal Property Brokers, Inc., dba Personal Property Brokers, From: 418 West Mesquite Ave., To: 3160 West Sahara Ave., Suite A-24, Elizabeth Thompson, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location for a Class II Secondhand Dealer License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for three (3) Pierce 1500 GPM Quantum Pumpers (TG) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: PIERCE MANUFACTURING INC. (\$1,019,958 - Capital Projects)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$1,019,958**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Capital Projects**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for the purchase of three (3) Pierce 1500 GPM Quantum Pumpers with 12" raised roof and 750 gallon water tank and 2002 chassis. Pumpers will be used by Fire & Rescue Department for response to fire emergency calls throughout the City of Las Vegas.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(d), Equipment which, by reason of the training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government, is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Curtis Clifford - (800) 352-4266

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of three (3) Pierce 1500 GPM Quantum Pumpers to Pierce Manufacturing Inc., in the amount of \$1,019,958.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of one year renewal of Professional Services Agreement and issuance of purchase order for administration of Special Improvement District fees (LR) - Finance and Business Services - Award recommended to: ASSESSMENT MANAGEMENT GROUP (\$471,200 - Special Revenue Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$471,200

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Finance and Business Services

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Special Revenue

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Council approved the original Professional Services Agreement with Assessment Management Group on September 7, 1994, and a modification on September 14, 1998 expanding the services. The Agreement provides for continuous renewals and provides that fees must be approved by the City Treasurer, who approved a rate schedule with future CPI adjustments in January 1999.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the renewal of the Professional Services Agreement and issuance of purchase order to Assessment Management Group in the estimated annual amount of \$471,200 for the period September 1, 2001 through August 31, 2002.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Joinder Agreement for the use of Clark County Bid Number 02-09140 (MS) for an Annual Requirements Contract for the rental, laundering and delivery services of uniforms - Various Departments - Award recommended to: UNIFIRST CORPORATION (Estimated annual amount of \$250,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$250,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Various**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Clark County Commissioners approved Bid Number 02-091401(MS) for annual requirements contract for rental, laundering and delivery services of uniforms on August 7, 2001.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to use the contracts of other local governments.

POC: Thom K. Chavez - (702) 565-8791

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the use of Clark County Bid Number 02-091401(MS) for the rental, laundering and delivery services of uniforms from Unifirst Corporation in the estimated annual amount of \$250,000 from date of award through September 4, 2004 with one (1) 3-year option to renew.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Joinder Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Preapproval of Bid Number 020007-TC, Mowers and Trailers, to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder - Department of Field Operations (estimated range \$100,000 - \$110,000 - Capital Fund) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$110,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Field Operations**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Capital Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

These mowers will be used to maintain the new Bettye Wilson Soccer complex. The trailers are used to tow these special mowers.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council preapprove the award of Bid Number 020007-TC, Mowers and Trailers to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder in the estimated range of \$100,000 - \$110,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICE**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 010107-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Ready Mix Concrete - Various Departments - Award recommended to: SILVER STATE MATERIALS CORP. (Estimated Annual Amount of \$100,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$100,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Various**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for an annual requirements contract for ready mix concrete to be used by various City of Las Vegas departmental projects.

POC: James W. Shumway - (702) 893-6557

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010107-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Ready Mix Concrete to Silver State Materials Corp. from date of award through October 31, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$100,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 010089-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Television Inspections of Sewer Lines - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: PIPE MAINTENANCE SERVICE, INC. (Estimated annual amount of \$80,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$80,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Field Operations**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide television inspections of sewer lines throughout the City of Las Vegas on an as needed basis.

POC: Robert Jeralds - (702) 642-9318

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010089-TC, Annual Requirements Contract for Television Inspections of Sewer Lines to Pipe Maintenance Service, Inc., from date of award through November 30, 2002, with four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual amount of \$80,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Annual Task Order Agreement (LED) for Professional Services for support of Oracle Applications - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: SOLBOURNE COMPUTER, INC. (\$75,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$75,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Information Technologies**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas is establishing an annual Task Order Agreement to be used by Information Technologies for support of Oracle Applications to be provided by Solbourne Computer, Inc. The City will issue individual Task Orders for required services on an as needed basis throughout the year. Each task order will incorporate the actual cost for the services to be performed at the time services are required.

This purchase is exempt from competition pursuant to NRS 332.115 1(b), Professional Services.

POC: Walter Pounds - (303) 417-2808

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the award of an Annual Task Order Agreement for Professional Services to Solbourne Computer, Inc. from date of award through June 30, 2006, in the estimated annual amount of \$75,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Task Order Agreement
2. Disclosure of Ownership/Principals Certificate

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Consent – Finance and Business Services  
Item No. 56

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

**1-622**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the use of Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) Bid Number 4741-00 (TC) for the purchase of four (4) Chevrolet Astro Vans - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: BILL HEARD CHEVROLET (\$72,000 - Capital Funds)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$72,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Field Operations**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Capital Funds**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In October 2000, the LVVWD approved the award of Bid Number 4741-00 for the purchase of Model Year 2001 Trucks, Sedans and Vans.

This purchase is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join or use the contract of other local governments.

POC: Ed Tozier (702) 870-9444

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the use of LVVWD Bid Number 4741-00 for the purchase of four (4) Chevrolet Astro Vans from Bill Heard Chevrolet in the amount of \$72,000, from date of award through current production year.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the purchase of radio communication equipment  
- Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: RADIO MOBILE SOLUTIONS,  
INC. (\$56,550 - Capital Projects Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$56,550**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for the purchase of radio communication equipment for new vehicles added to our fleet to be used by the Fire & Rescue Department for response to emergency calls throughout the City of Las Vegas.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding requirements pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: John Wiggins - (760) 602-0014

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for radio communication equipment to Radio Mobile Solutions, Inc. in the amount of \$56,550.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a blanket purchase order for an annual contract for Elgin, Vactor and Mobile O.E.M. parts and services (TC) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HAAKER EQUIPMENT (Estimated annual amount of \$50,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$50,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Field Operations**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for an annual contract with Haaker Equipment to provide Elgin, Vactor and Mobile O.E.M. parts and services on various vehicles in the City of Las Vegas.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Keith Delk - (909) 598-2706, ext.24

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a blanket purchase order for Elgin, Vactor and Mobile O.E.M. parts and services to Haaker Equipment in the estimated annual amount of \$50,000 for the period from date of award through October 30, 2002.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for software maintenance and licenses for the City's GIS software - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: ENVIRONMENTAL SYSTEMS RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (\$46,263 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$46,263

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Information Technologies

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement is for annual software maintenance of all ESRI products, including ArcIMS, ArcSDE, Arc/INFO, ArcView, etc.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(h), Software for computers.

POC: Kevin Schumm - (909) 793-2853, ext 1139

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for software maintenance and licenses to Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. in the amount of \$46,263.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order to refurbish one (1) Pierce Lance E-4229-01 fire apparatus (TG) - Department of Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: PIERCE MANUFACTURING INC (\$39,795 - Internal Service Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$39,795**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Internal Service**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request will provide for the refurbishing of one (1) Pierce Lance E-4229-01 fire apparatus in accordance with technical specifications. Fire & Rescue has determined that it is more economical to refurbish this fire apparatus rather than purchase a new apparatus at an estimated cost of \$360,000.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Don Daemmrch - (909) 930-2278

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for the refurbishing of one (1) Pierce Lance E-4229-01 fire apparatus to Pierce Manufacturing Inc. in the amount of \$39,795.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Agreement and the issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for Geographic Information Services (DGL) - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA (5-yr. Contract, \$15,000 annually - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$15,000 annually

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Information Technologies

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The requirement is for the cost sharing of Geographic Information Systems resources created by the County for use by participating jurisdictions in Southern Nevada for the period July 1, 2001 through and including June 30, 2006, annual renewal options thereafter.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the interlocal agreement, its execution, and the issuance of a purchase order for geographic information services to Clark County, Nevada in the amount of \$15,000 annually on the initial 5-yr. contract, with annual options to renew.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Clark County and City of Las Vegas Geographic Information Interlocal Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for the annual requirements purchase of AMKUS Rescue Systems (DGL) - Fire & Rescue - Award recommended to: DIAMONDBACK FIRE & RESCUE (\$70,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$70,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Fire & Rescue**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Fire and Rescue has an expected need to purchase up to five (5) AMKUS Rescue Systems over the next year totaling approximately \$70,000.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(a), Items which may only be contracted from a sole source.

POC: Bill Burch - (909) 303-8866

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order to Diamondback Fire & Rescue for the procurement of AMKUS Rescue Systems in an amount not to exceed \$70,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIRE & SAFETY**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the 2002 Emergency Management Program grant application submittal through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) (\$125,006 total request with a \$62,503 City match in the General Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$62,503 City match

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Fire/Safety - Admin

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas maintains an annual recurring Emergency Management Program (EMP) Grant to develop emergency response and mitigation plans for multi-hazard disasters including earthquakes, floods, energy crises, and domestic terrorism. This grant provides 50% funding from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) administered through the Nevada Division of Emergency Management. This grant funds the City's Office of Emergency Management located within Las Vegas Fire & Rescue.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval to submit application

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

City of Las Vegas - Grant Application

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the State of Nevada Division/Office of Emergency Management and the City of Las Vegas for the use of the TRP-1000 Radio Equipment - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In December 1999, Las Vegas Fire & Rescue received four (4) interoperability radio systems from the U.S. Office of Justice to provide a common communication medium between various public safety agencies. The Nevada Division of Emergency Management is desirous to borrow one (1) radio system through this MOU to enable multi-agency communications during statewide emergencies, including seasonal wild land fires. Las Vegas Fire & Rescue has ample reserve equipment inventory to comply with this request.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval of the MOU

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Memorandum of Understanding

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of reallocating \$150,000 from interest earned from the medium obligation bond for the East Las Vegas Community-Senior Center to construct an additional traffic signal and lane modifications at Eastern and Ogden for Clark County School District bus yard access - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$150,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Neigh Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Interest from Bond

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Currently the Clark County School District utilizes a temporary road from Stewart Avenue as part of the ingress/egress to its bus yard located at Eastern and Ogden. Once construction begins for the East Las Vegas Community-Senior Center, the School District buses will only be able to access the bus yard from Eastern. In order to alleviate the increased traffic problems this situation will create, the city proposes building a signal light and extending the left turn lane.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends approval of reallocating these funds.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way parcels for the Durango Drive III Improvement project (along the El Capitan Way alignment) between Centennial Parkway and U.S. 95/Rancho Drive (\$875,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$875,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn traffic and streetlight easement parcels for the Owens Avenue Improvement project between the I-15 Freeway and Pecos Road (\$25,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 3 and 5 (Reese and Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$25,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineers**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Sewer Refunding Agreement with Plaster Development Company for Madre Mesa North Development (\$46,586 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$46,586**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Sanitation Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Plaster Development is developing property known as "Madre Mesa North". This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over-sizing costs for the Michael Way sewer from Madre Mesa Drive to Avenida Caballo. The basis for the over-sizing costs are explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$46,586 over sizing costs.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Sewer Refunding Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District to install new water services and water mains along B Street and Washington Avenue in conjunction with the City of Las Vegas' Washington Avenue - Martin Luther King Boulevard to I-15 project (\$456,000 - Las Vegas Valley Water District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$456,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: LVVWD**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Las Vegas Valley Water District needs to install new water services and water mains along B Street and Washington Avenue. It was determined that it would be more cost effective and also prevent the future cutting of a new street if this work was constructed by the City's contractor reconstructing Washington Ave. This agreement has been approved by the Las Vegas Valley Water District. The Water District has requested that this work be included in the City's Washington Ave. project.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement No. 107553

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Paul Schofield on behalf of Erudite Tugboat Expedition Company, owner (northwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Casino Center Boulevard) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To landscape the north and south sides of the sidewalk on Bonneville Avenue which extends 140' westward from Casino Center Boulevard and landscape on the west side of Casino Center Boulevard extending approximately 50' northward along the property line. Each encroachment area may consist of trees, shrubs, flowers, ground cover, decomposed granite, and an irrigation system to satisfy a condition of Z-0100-64(175) for the proposed Schofield Office Building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Casino Center Boulevard)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (typical section of Bonneville Avenue and Casino Center Boulevard)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Ace Engineering on behalf of United Brothers Enterprises, Incorporated, owner (southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Owens Avenue) - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

To landscape the south side of Owens Avenue extending 149' westward from Lamb Boulevard and the west side of Lamb Boulevard extending 139' southward from Owens Avenue. Each landscaped area will consist of trees, shrubs, and an irrigation system. Placement of an ARCO sign on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Owens Avenue is to be included in the encroachment area. Landscape is to satisfy a condition of Z-47-96(2) for the proposed ARCO Car Wash. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Owens Avenue)
2. Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "B" (typical section of Lamb Boulevard and Owens Avenue)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Lochsa Engineering on behalf of Nevada Commerce Bank, owner (northeast corner of Valley View Boulevard and Regulus Avenue) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment will consist of an approximate 11' wide strip of landscaping on the east side of Valley View Boulevard extending northward approximately 194' from Regulus Avenue consisting of drought tolerant landscaping along with 24" box trees with ground cover in 10' wide landscaping planters to satisfy a condition of V-0160-93 and AR-0003-96 for Nevada Commerce Bank. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Valley View Boulevard and Regulus Avenue)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Phillip Regeski, P.E., on behalf of John Milk, LLC, owner (northwest corner of Bonanza Road and Martin Luther King Boulevard) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/ City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment will consist of parking spaces adjoining the north side of Bonanza Road beginning approximately 140' west of the Martin Luther King Boulevard centerline and ending approximately 220' west of the Martin Luther King Boulevard centerline. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (northeast corner of Bonanza Road and Martin Luther King Boulevard)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an encroachment request from Mannetta Owners Association, owner (southwest corner of Michael Way and Vegas Drive) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The existing encroachment consists of an approximate 12' wide strip of landscaping on the west side of Michael Way extending approximately 1,090' southward from Vegas Drive consisting of crushed rock ground cover, trees and shrubs of various heights and sizes, and a drip irrigation system for the Mannetta Lane Condominiums. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A"/Vicinity Map (southwest corner of Michael Way and Vegas Drive)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Facilities Relocation Agreement between Nevada Power Company and the City of Las Vegas for the Smoke Ranch Road Improvement Project (\$91,220 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$91,220**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is the Interlocal Agreement to pay Nevada Power Company for relocating their facilities on the Northeast corner of Smoke Ranch Road and Tenaya Way intersection, and construction of an attenuator around the transmission poles for the exclusive right-turn lane.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Facilities Relocational Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to purchase 41 street light poles to be used as the City's share of costs for the installation of Peak Drive east of Buffalo Drive (\$75,000 Sanitation Funds) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$75,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/Engineering Integration

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Sanitation Funds

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In conjunction with on-going development of the West Service Center, construction of Peak Dr. adjacent to the south edge of this site will be necessary. Staff has been working with the property developer south of Peak Dr. to partner with him to complete these improvements. An agreement has been reached whereby developer will install the full width of Peak Dr. if the City provides certain streetlight poles that the developer can utilize. Based on cost estimates for Peak Dr., this agreement will result in a lower cost to the City as opposed to constructing the street improvements on our own.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval of the purchase of 41 streetlights and approval to allow the private developer to the south to install such streetlights on the intended public street corridors in trade for the developer constructing Peak Drive.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Amendment No.1 to a Consultant Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and HDR Engineering, Inc. for the Water Pollution Control Facility (WPCF) Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition (SCADA) and Control Integration Services (\$1,790,844 - Sanitation Fund) - (County)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$1,790,844**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/Environmental**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Sanitation Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

As part of the WPCF expansion the City has been installing an upgraded SCADA system including the use of Modicon Programmable Logic Controllers. Our intent is to change out the old controllers in the existing plant so that we have one standardized system. This amendment funds the design, programming, and project management of this upgrade.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Amendment No. 1 to Consultant Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM - R-84-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the Department of Public Works/City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1484 - Alta Drive (Rancho Drive to Valley View Boulevard) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb, gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential driveways, landscaping, irrigation systems, and entry monumentation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-84-2001

Submitted at the meeting: three parcel maps referred to by Councilman McDonald and Diagram 1-Alta Drive Improvements from the Fiscal Year 2002 Parks/City Facility CIP Location Diagrams book referred to by the Public Works Department

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 with a Special City Council/Neighborhood meeting to be held prior thereto – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 79 and 80 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 79.

**APPEARANCES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department

JORGE CERVANTES, Public Works Department

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Resolutions

Item 79

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that CITY MANAGER VALENTINE, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, and the engineers of the Public Works Department that worked on this matter attend the Special City Council/Neighborhood meeting to help clear up some of the confusion.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed that the comments made at this meeting be made a part of the 10/3/2001 Council meeting under the respective item.

NOTE: A count of those present in the audience showed approximately 50 in support of Items 79 and 80 and two opposed.

(9:34 – 11:14)

**1-986/2-1**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM - R-85-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the Department of Public Works/City Engineer to prepare preliminary plans regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) (Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

To defray the annual maintenance costs of certain street beautification improvements located along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to 275 feet west of Lacy Lane.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-85-2001

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 with a Special City Council/Neighborhood meeting to be held prior thereto – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Combined Verbatim Transcript of Items 79 and 80 is made a part of the Final Minutes under Item 79.

**APPEARANCES:**

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department

JORGE CERVANTES, Public Works Department

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Consent – Resolutions

Item 80

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that CITY MANAGER VALENTINE, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, and the engineers of the Public Works Department that worked on this matter attend the Special City Council/Neighborhood meeting to help clear up some of the confusion.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN instructed that the comments made at this meeting be made a part of the 10/3/2001 Council meeting under the respective item.

NOTE: A count of those present in the audience showed approximately 50 in support of Items 79 and 80 and two opposed.

(9:34 – 11:14)

**1-986/2-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-104-2001** - Approval of a Resolution Disposing of the Protests made at the hearing on the Provisional Order regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to I-15) (\$216,082.77 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$216,082.77

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, median islands with left turn lanes and/or continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-104-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-105-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Thirty-second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 404 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, curb and gutter and sidewalk project.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-105-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-106-2001** - Approval of a Resolution approving the Thirty-second Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 404 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, water, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, curb and gutter and sidewalk project.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-106-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-107-2001** - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm sewer/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Portofino - Phase 2.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-107-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-108-2001** - Approval of a Resolution approving the Fifth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: PW/SID**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Levy Assessments**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Acquisition, construction and installation of street, road, sanitary sewer, storm/drainage improvements, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Portofino - Phase 2.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-108-2001

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of an Easement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) for the construction of water lines to service the future East Las Vegas Neighborhood Senior Center, located at Stewart and Eastern Avenues, identified as Parcel Numbers 139-36-301-001 and -002 - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City is in the process of going out to bid for the construction of the future East Las Vegas Neighborhood Senior Center. In order to have water service to the Center, the City is required to grant an Easement to LVVWD for rights-of-way to construct the water lines. The Interlocal Agreement for Construction was approved at the August 15<sup>th</sup>, 2001 Council meeting.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 8/27/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Easement
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and Jude 22 Foundation (JUDE 22), a Nevada non-profit corporation, for approximately 1,200 square feet of office space located at 320 South 9th Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City purchased the former First Baptist Church at 9th and Bridger Streets with CDBG funds, acquiring the main building and an additional structure formerly used as a parsonage. The parsonage is a 3-room structure, which presently houses JUDE 22 for operation of the Senior Nutrition Center, providing emergency food services for senior citizens. JUDE 22 will occupy the main room (transformed into a warehouse area), the front area for receiving and processing clients, and storage closets.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 8/27/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Lease Agreement
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Approval of a Lease Agreement between the City of Las Vegas (City) and I Have a Dream Foundation (IHAD), a Nevada non-profit corporation, for approximately 300 square feet of office space located at 320 South 9<sup>th</sup> Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City purchased the former First Baptist Church at 9th and Bridger Streets with CDBG funds, acquiring the main building and an additional structure formerly used as a parsonage. The parsonage is a 3-room structure, which presently houses Jude 22 for operation of the Senior Nutrition Center, providing emergency food services for senior citizens. IHAD will occupy one (1) room of the structure for use with educational mentoring and tutoring of qualifying low to moderate-income children. IHAD's goal is to mentor and tutor children up to and through college with tuition guaranteed for the duration of their education.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 8/27/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Lease Agreement
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-11, 13-15, 17-78, 81-88 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 16 to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining on Item 71 because he owns property in the area that could be impacted**

**Item 12: APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)**

**Items 79 & 80: ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 under separate action (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-622

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER**

**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Discussion and possible action on the ratification of James Carmany as Municipal Court Administrator (\$100,000 + executive benefits - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$100,000 + exec benefit plan

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Municipal Court

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Carmany holds a BA in Sociology, a Masters of Social Work, and has successfully completed the Institute for Court Management's Court Executive Development Program. Carmany has extensive experience directing the affairs of public and private service organizations and is highly qualified to assume the Court Administrator position.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council ratify the appointment of James Carmany in the position of Municipal Court Administrator.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resume of James Carmany

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – RATIFIED the Appointment of James Carmany – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN welcomed JUDGES TOY GREGORY, BERT BROWN, ELIZABETH KOLKOSKI, and CEDRIC KERNS and JAMES CARMANY.

JUDGE BROWN stated that the Judges accepted 50 applications for Municipal Court Administrator. He thanked the City Manager's office and JUDY TUTTLE, Human Resources, for their assistance in this matter. He requested the Council's support and ratification of the appointment of MR. CARMANY.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Administrative

Item 89 – Discussion and possible action on the ratification of James Carmany as  
Municipal Court Administrator

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that he has known MR. CARMANY for a long time. He has had a very distinguished career and brings a lot of experience, ethics, and morality to the City.

There was no further discussion.

(9:31 – 9:34)

**1-898**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding expenditure of up to \$94,000 for a comprehensive analysis pertaining to the possible construction of a downtown events arena at the proposed location on the northeast corner of Stewart and Main Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$94,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** OBD/Administrative Services☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Industrial Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Office of Business Development has negotiated a contract with Economic Research Associates (ERS) to perform an analysis of the market conditions, the financial feasibility and related analysis that will assist the City in identifying a sports and entertainment venue for a downtown arena. Phase I Report Completed - Assess Market Conditions (\$23,700); Phase II Report - Additional Market Research and Financial Projections (\$25,000); Phase III Report - Other Services (\$40,000); Contingency (\$5,000).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval of up to \$94,000 and to allow the City Manager to execute an appropriate contract.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Disclosure of Principals
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN disclosed that he has been recusing himself from discussions on the 61 acres due to his relationship with Southwest Sports Group and Mandalay Bay Group and their possible connection to this item. MAYOR GOODMAN advised that the 61 acres is independent of this item.

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, reported that the Phase I analysis was completed and Phases II and III would allow staff to move forward toward an investment grade

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Business Development

Item 90 – Discussion and possible action regarding expenditure of up to \$94,000 for a comprehensive analysis pertaining to the possible construction of a downtown events arena at the proposed location on the northeast corner of Stewart and Main Street

**MINUTES – Continued:**

report upon which to base a decision on the user, location, and market feasibility. It is anticipated that the Phase II draft will be completed by mid September.

At the request of MAYOR GOODMAN, MS. CODER advised on the status for the potential of obtaining the site as well as having operators for the project. She indicated that Boyd Gaming actually owns the property at the northeast corner of Main and Stewart. There are two potential users for the site: Larry Leisure from Boise, Idaho, and Bill Lightbody from California to build a hockey facility, with the potential to be used for basketball with a specific user. The property owner currently does not feel comfortable moving forward with either proposal.

TOM RICKETTS, City Employees Association President, City of Las Vegas, appeared as a private citizen and offered his assistance in the revitalization of the downtown area and in the development of a hockey facility where local youth can practice and compete. Such a facility would stimulate the local tax base and not burden parents and children with having to travel to other states. MAYOR GOODMAN agreed that Las Vegas has to step up and become a major league City with major league services. He stressed that an academic medical facility has to be at the forefront of the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(12:01 – 12:06)

**2-3570**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the Conceptual Design Drawings of a parking garage completed by Walker Parking Consultants on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street (approximately \$8,800 - City of Las Vegas Parking Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount: ~ \$8,800**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Business Development**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: City of Las Vegas Parking Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In June 2001, the Office of Business Development commissioned Walker Parking Consultants to do conceptual design drawings for the construction of a parking garage on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street. The Study was commissioned in an effort to meet the parking needs created by the construction of the Regional Justice Center.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval and acceptance of the conceptual design drawings for a possible parking garage on the Bank of America property at 624 S. 4<sup>th</sup> Street and direct staff accordingly.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, requested the matter be held in abeyance because staff was not prepared to make a presentation and the study was not completed.

There was no further discussion.

(12:06 – 12:07)

**2-3904**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the Exclusive Negotiating Agreement between City Parkway V and Southwest Sports Group for the 61-acre parcel bounded by Grand Central Parkway, Bonneville Ave. and the UPRR Rail line - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Business Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In furtherance of the development of the 61-acre parcel known as 100 South Grand Central Parkway, City Parkway V has negotiated an Exclusive Negotiating Agreement (ENA) with Southwest Sports Group. The agreement outlines the duties and responsibilities of both parties during the negotiation of the Disposition and Development Agreement. The ENA allows 6 months to bring a Disposition and Development Agreement for City Council approval.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Exclusive Negotiating Agreement including exhibits consisting of Site map and Disclosure of Principals

Submitted at the meeting: an agreement with proposed amendments by Ms. Coder

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN abstaining due to his employment relationship with Mandalay Sports, who has been in partnership with Southwest Sports Group on this proposal and L.B. McDONALD abstaining because of her business relationship with the University of Nevada School of Medicine**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, submitted an agreement and reviewed some of the amendments and the uses that the Request for Proposal mandates be included and studied. She clarified that the proposed amount of \$500,000 that the City would like



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Business Development

Item 92 – Discussion and possible action regarding the Exclusive Negotiating Agreement between City Parkway V and Southwest Sports Group for the 61-acre parcel bounded by Grand Central Parkway, Bonneville Avenue and the UPRR Rail Line

**MINUTES – Continued:**

to contribute as part of the agreement is not just to study a baseball or sports stadium. That money would be used for several services, such as studying the site as a whole with all of the land use elements and for the purposes of hiring consulting services on an as-needed basis. Staff believes this amount to be reasonable. Additionally, Southwest Sports is willing to pay anything above and beyond this amount toward the development of a disposition and development agreement. She indicated that the last four months would be spent further refining the development concept toward a development plan in order to obtain assurance that the market place can bear the proposed facilities.

Further, MS. CODER advised that staff desires to move forward with the counseling services of NICK NIARCHOS, Chairman, City Centre Development Corporation, to assist staff in negotiations and in preparing the disposition and development agreement.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC if hiring MR. NIARCHOS as counsel for this project would be a conflict. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC answered that to his understanding MR. NIARCHOS is not going to participate in any discussions on this project at the City Centre level and will make appropriate disclosure at meetings. Therefore, there would be no conflict of interest.

COUNCILMAN REESE then questioned why the City should pay MR. NIARCHOS for his services when he has been working for the City gratis. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that the level of expertise that MR. NIARCHOS brought prior to becoming the outside counsel on this project is nothing compared to what he is going to be doing. This project involves very long and complicated negotiations in which MR. NIARCHOS is uniquely qualified to assist the City.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his concern at the possibility of losing MR. NIARCHOS' expertise as a contributing member of the City Centre Development Corporation on this project. He did not feel that it would be a conflict for him to provide counsel services while participating in discussions regarding this matter before the City Centre Development Corporation.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if this matter was previously discussed. MS. CODER replied that what was discussed before entailed the hiring of a coordinator or facilitator, which is still the intent of staff.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Business Development

Item 92 – Discussion and possible action regarding the Exclusive Negotiating Agreement between City Parkway V and Southwest Sports Group for the 61-acre parcel bounded by Grand Central Parkway, Bonneville Avenue and the UPRR Rail Line

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY felt concerned about hiring an outside counsel, especially when the City Council has approved a hiring freeze. MS. CODER clarified that MR. NIARCHOS would be hired in a consulting capacity and not as a permanent staff person.

CITY MANAGER VALENTINE interjected that staff was a little premature in discussing the potential hiring of MR. NIARCHOS as a consultant because the agendaed item did not include that possibility. Therefore, she requested that staff be allowed to brief the individual Councilmen about hiring MR. NIARCHOS as a consultant.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD emphasized that he would only support the project if traffic impact studies are conducted and adequate traffic control measures are put in place. MS. CODER commented that improvements include an on/off ramp at Avenue F, pulling Discovery Drive from Grand Central Parkway west to Martin Luther King Boulevard, and moving forward with an on/off ramp from I-15 to Bonneville. Those improvements would be completed if mandated. COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned what would mandate such improvements. MS. CODER answered that traffic studies would be conducted as currently done for any proposed development of a significant size. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stressed that he wants a successful project on this property and in order to make it successful, the traffic concerns must be addressed. RICKY REES, Southwest Sports Group, assured COUNCILMAN McDONALD that a traffic study would eventually be performed in order to assess the most efficient way to move traffic, especially given the size of the project.

MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with MR. REES that everything is moving along, in order, and ready to move to the next level.

MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that it is not uncommon for the City to spend this amount of money on a project of this magnitude. MS. CODER added that Southwest Sports Group would provide any additional funds needed.

COUNCILMAN REESE noted that since he has been on the Council the City has spent upwards of \$5 million to perform feasibility studies. That money could have been used to hire staff for the City to perform those studies.

There was no further discussion.

(12:06 – 12:07)

**2-3904**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the operating agreement for Office District Parking I, Inc. located at the intersection of Bonneville Avenue and Third Street (\$263,000 Economic/Parking Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount: \$263,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: OBD/Economic Development**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Economic/Parking Rev. Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City authorized the creation of the corporation for the purpose of promoting economic development of certain real properties. The corporation is authorized to purchase and sell the property or portions thereof for economic development. The purpose of this operating agreement is to set forth certain guidelines for the administration and management of the corporation and to provide for the loan of funds to the corporation in order to implement the goals and objectives of the corporation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval and authorization for City Manager to execute agreements in excess of \$25,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Operating Agreement
3. Site Map
4. Disclosures of Principals

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining**

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he did not feel that his property at Casino Center and Bonneville on the other side of the tracks from this project would be impacted as a result of the vote; therefore, he did not feel there was a conflict. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised MAYOR GOODMAN that there is no fast rule as to the distance and that he would be in the best position to judge whether he would actually be affected. MAYOR GOODMAN then made the motion for approval and voted in favor; however, he subsequently came back and requested that he be shown abstaining on this matter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Business Development

Item 93 – Discussion and possible action regarding the operating agreement for Office District Parking I, Inc., located at the intersection of Bonneville Avenue and Third Street

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that Items 93, 94, 95, and 96, although independent, were related.

There was no further discussion.

(12:23 – 12:26)

**3-663**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the operating agreement for City Parkway IV, Inc. located at the intersection of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (\$588,345 - Economic Development) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$588,345**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: OBD / Economic Development**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Economic Development**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City authorized the creation of the corporation for the purpose of promoting economic development of certain real properties. The corporation is authorized to purchase and sell the property or portions thereof for economic development. The purpose of the operating agreement is to set forth certain guidelines for the administration and management of the corporation and to provide for the loan of funds to the corporation in order to implement the goals and objectives of the corporation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval and authorization for City Manager to execute agreements in excess of \$25,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Operating Agreement
3. Site Map
4. Disclosures of Principals

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, corrected that Items 94, 95, and 96 would require Industrial Revenue funds.

See Item 93 for related discussion.

(12:23 – 12:26)

**3-663**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the operating agreement for City Parkway IV A, Inc., located at the intersection of Grand Central Parkway and F Street (\$22,650 - Economic Development) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$22,650**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: OBD / Economic Development**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Economic Development**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City authorized the creation of the corporation for the purpose of promoting economic development of certain real properties. The corporation is authorized to purchase and sell the property or portions thereof for economic development. The purpose of this operating agreement is to set forth certain guidelines for the administration and management of the corporation and to provide for the loan of funds to the corporation in order to implement the goals and objectives of the corporation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval and authorization for City Manager to execute agreements in excess of \$25,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Operating Agreement
3. Site Map
4. Disclosures of Principals

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, corrected that Items 94, 95, and 96 would require Industrial Revenue funds.

See Item 93 for related discussion.

(12:23 – 12:26)

**3-663**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the operating agreement for City Parkway V, Inc., located at the intersection of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (\$160,650 - Economic Development) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$160,650**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: OBD / Economic Development**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: Economic Development**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City authorized the creation of the corporation for the purpose of promoting economic development of certain real properties. The corporation is authorized to purchase and sell the property or portions thereof for economic development. The purpose of this operating agreement is to set forth certain guidelines for the administration and management of the corporation and to provide for the loan of funds to the corporation in order to implement the goals and objectives of the corporation.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval and authorization for City Manager to execute agreements in excess of \$25,000.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Operating Agreement
3. Site Map
4. Disclosures of Principals

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, corrected that Items 94, 95, and 96 would require Industrial Revenue funds.

See Item 93 for related discussion.

(12:23 – 12:26)

**3-663**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Tracy Allen Colin, 6530 Wild Horse Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 97, 98, and 100 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:21 – 9:23)

**1-540**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Jeremy Marincovich, 6572 Lombard Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 97, 98, and 100 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:21 – 9:23)

**1-540**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Held in Abeyance from August 15, 2001. Edwin Martinez, 7075 W. Gowan Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted at the meeting: letter to City Manager Valentine from the EOB

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to a one-year review (9/4/2002) – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

The appellant was present.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), reported that MARK ANTHONY, Economic Opportunity Board Loss Prevention Coordinator, did not believe that further investigation of MR. MARTINEZ' arrests was necessary because he already possessed a work card for North Las Vegas. However, SERGEANT PAGE indicated that Metro was still concerned because MR. MARTINEZ would be working with children.

DIBA HADI, Child Care Administrator, Economic Opportunity Board, stated that at the time of the interview, MR. MARTINEZ revealed his arrest record and presented a North Las Vegas Sheriff's card; therefore, the EOB did not see any reason for further investigation. Had MR. MARTINEZ not been able to obtain a work card, he would not have been employed. Also, MR. MARTINEZ has not had any issues regarding any child offense.

MR. MARTINEZ stated that he has only been in trouble that one time and he is trying to be a better person.

There was no further discussion.

(12:26 – 12:32)

3-663

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: James Allen Dooley, 2750 South Durango #1116, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 97, 98, and 100 - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:21 – 9:23)

**1-540**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Supper Club Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Monsoon II, LLC, dba Monsoon Pan Asian Bistro, Stavros K. Kritikos, Mmbr, Mgr, 40%, Christos Kapotis, Mmbr, 30%, Konstantinos Demertzis, Mmbr, 30%, To: Elavie, Inc., dba Elavie, 8991 West Sahara Ave., Stavros K. Kritikos, Dir, 50%, Nicoletta Messologitis, Dir, Pres, 16 2/3%, Emmanuel Messologitis, Dir, Secy, 16 2/3%, Yannis Kontizas, Dir, Treas, 16 2/3% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Supper Club Liquor License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Steve Kritikos

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting**

**MINUTES:**

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the applicants met the requirements for a temporary approval.

There was no further discussion.

(12:32 – 12:33)

3-980

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Y2K, Inc., dba All Sports Bar & Grill, 6138 West Charleston Blvd., Timothy J. Korney, Pres, 19%, James A. Hamilton, Secy, 25%, Kathy L. Korney, Treas, 19%, Judith E. Hamilton, Dir, 25% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be given following the discussion of the investigation by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting**

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was not present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, reported that there are no new areas of concern since the license was granted a year ago. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(12:33 – 12:34)

**3-1020**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, Town Center Grocer, LLC, dba Town Center Grocer, 6000 Sky Pointe Drive, Angelo A. Cassaro, Mgr, Mmbr, 40%, Nicholas V. Montana, Mgr, Mmbr, 40%, Joseph C. Bobowicz, Mgr, Mmbr, 20% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License and Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be given following discussion of the investigation by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY BILL RAY, Mangels, Butler, Mamaro, and O'Reilly, appeared representing the applicants. He requested a permanent license be issued.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, reported that there are no new areas of concern since the license was granted a year ago. He recommended approval.

COUNCILMAN MACK requested that the volume of the speakers at the gasoline pumps be lowered.

There was no further discussion.

(12:33 – 12:34)

**3-1020**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Tavern Liquor License and Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Town Center Lounge, LLC, dba Town Center Lounge, 6050 Sky Pointe Drive, Angelo A. Cassaro, Mgr, Mmbr, 40%, Nicholas V. Montana, Mgr, Mmbr, 40%, Joseph C. Bobowicz, Mgr, Mmbr, 20% - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a One Year Review of a Tavern Liquor License and Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be given following discussion of the investigation by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY BILL RAY, Mangels, Butler, Mamaro, and O'Reilly, appeared representing the applicants. He requested a permanent license be issued.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, was present.

SERGEANT PAUL PAGE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, reported that there are no new areas of concern since the license was granted a year ago. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(12:36)

**3-1104**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Hypnotist License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Christine Essex, dba Christine Essex, From: 1833 West Charleston Blvd., To: 600 South Jones Blvd., Christine Essex, 100%, **(NOTE: Item to be heard in afternoon session in conjunction with Item #169 - Special Use Permit U-0100-01) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)**

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Hypnotist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRISTINE ESSEX, 6450 Hammer Lane, was present.

NOTE: All discussion for Item No. 105 was held under related Item No. 169 [U-0100-01].

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:46 – 6:49)

**7-1358**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Complete Cosmetic Medical Spa, Inc., dba European Treatment Centers, 7720 West Sahara Ave., Suite 103, Vera F. Barnes-Gordon, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Vera Barnes
3. Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

VERA BARNES-GORDON, 8664 Ben Deer Drive, was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the police investigation revealed no areas of concern. He recommended approval of a temporary license.

There was no further discussion.

(12:36 – 12:37)

**3-1129**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Report, discussion and possible action on revision of the City's work card regulation effort

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the Council meeting of August 1, 2001, possible changes to the work card ordinance and the City's regulation over employees in occupations that require a work card issued by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept. to be lawfully employed were discussed. Direction was given by Council to look at occupations that are not regulated by another authority, that may be outdated thus not be applicable, but Council wanted to ensure the integrity in occupations that have access to children, access to private property, sales of alcoholic beverages, and which may proliferate the act of prostitution.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be provided at the City Council meeting.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

City Manager's Information Report #040.01

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for adult nightclub servers, attendants, and security – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject categories in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for advertising specialists, principal, and solicitor – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject categories from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for apartment house managers – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 107 – Report, discussion and possible action on revision of the City's work card regulation effort

**MOTION – Continued:**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for auctioneers – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for burglar alarm service – carried with WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for concessionaires at carnivals – carried with GOODMAN, L.B. McDONALD AND WEEKLY voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for concessionaires at carnivals – failed with GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for concessionaires at circuses – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for all employees of childcare/accommodation facilities – carried UNANIMOUSLY, incorporating the subject categories in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for commercial dance studio instructors – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for all employees of erotic dance establishments – carried with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for escort bureaus, escort, and escort runners – carried with L. B. McDONALD and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject categories in the work card code**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 107 – Report, discussion and possible action on revision of the City's work card regulation effort

**MOTION – Continued:**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for gaming casino workers – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for gaming (non-gaming) service workers – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for ice cream truck vendors – carried UNANIMOUSLY, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for liquor control – carried UNANIMOUSLY, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for locksmiths and safe mechanics – carried with WEEKLY and L.B. McDONALD voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for martial arts instructors – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for massage establishments and therapists – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject categories in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for mobile food vendors and employees – carried with L.B. McDONALD voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for outcall entertainers – carried with L.B. McDONALD and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for all employees of pawnbroker establishments – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 107 – Report, discussion and possible action on revision of the City's work card regulation effort

**MOTION – Continued:**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for peddlers, solicitors, and temporary merchants – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject categories in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for private detectives – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for private security – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for reflexology/acupressure employees – carried with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, and GOODMAN voting NO, incorporating the subject categories in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for teenage dance security – carried UNANIMOUSLY, incorporating the subject category in the work card code**

**GOODMAN – Motion to require a work card for vacation certificate businesses – failed with WEEKLY, L.B. McDONALD, M. McDONALD, GOODMAN, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO, eliminating the subject category from the work card code**

**M. McDONALD – Motion to put together a list of retained categories and the impact of the revisions for a review at the City Council meeting of December 19, 2001 – carried UNANIMOUSLY**

**NOTE: A previous motion by GOODMAN to require a work card only for the employees of the categories of burglar alarm service, childcare facilities, gaming casino workers (engaged in gaming), ice cream truck vendors, liquor control, teenage dance hall, and nightclub security failed with M. McDONALD, REESE, BROWN, and MACK voting NO.**

**NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he is a pawnbroker, but that it would not impede his ability to vote. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC advised that there is no specific conflict because the matter involves all categories of pawnbrokers.**

**MINUTES:**

**NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 107 – Report, discussion and possible action on revision of the City's work card regulation effort

**MINUTES – Continued:**

**APPEARANCES:**

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

GARY PECK, President, ACLU

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed that staff look into requiring work cards for employees of nursing and care facilities for the elderly, because there is a rash of abuse against them.

(11:14 – 11:16)

**2-1389**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding negotiation of a contract for the Operations and Management of the Northwest Family Golf Course, Request for Proposals No. 010070-LR - Finance & Business Services - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Amount:** Unknown at this time

**Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services

**Funding Source:** Golf Enterprise Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A Request for Proposal was issued for the Operation and Management (O&M) of the Northwest Family Golf Course to be constructed at Durango/Gowan/Cheyenne Roads. The City received three proposals which were reviewed and evaluated, with oral presentations by firms during the week of August 6, 2001. The proposal evaluation team, consisting of members from the departments of Field Operations, Public Works, Leisure Services, Finance and Business Services, as well as the Boulder City Golf Course Manager, unanimously selected Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited for negotiation of the O&M contract.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council give staff approval to negotiate a potential O&M contract with Evergreen Alliance Golf Limited

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 and direction to the City Manager's office to provide detailed briefings to the individual Council members on the management contract process and on the parallel construction contract of the golf course – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(11:14 – 11:16)

2-1389



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FIRE & RESCUE**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding the 2001 Department of Justice Preparedness grant application submittal through the Office for State and Local Domestic Preparedness Support, U.S. Department of Justice (\$280,000 federal grant) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$280,000

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Fire/Rescue - Admin.

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Federal Grant

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Pursuant to Presidential Decision Directive-39 (06/21/95), the City of Las Vegas was selected among the nation's 120 most populous cities eligible to receive federal grant allocations for counter-terrorism and domestic preparedness activities. This 100% funded grant will enable the City to purchase equipment related to personal protective equipment, detection and decontamination to defend against possible attacks involving weapons of mass destruction. This represents phase-2 of the City's domestic preparedness project, a corroborative effort with allied cities and Clark County agencies.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval to submit application

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

City of Las Vegas - Grant Application

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

CHIEF DAVID WASHINGTON, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue, stated that is the second phase of a current routine grant for weapons of mass destruction and preparedness support in case of acts of terrorism.

There was no further discussion.

(12:37 – 12:39)

**3-1175**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES****DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding agreement with Children's Choice Learning Centers to provide child care services for City of Las Vegas employees.

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is an agenda item to request approval to execute a contract with Children's Choice to provide 24 hour a day, 7 day a week, child care services to the children of City of Las Vegas employees.

This service will be provided with no cost to the City. The costs for this program will be assumed by the participating employees. The staffs of the Finance and Human Resources Departments will facilitate all aspects of providing this service to City employees.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the contract with Children's Choice Learning Centers be approved.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Children's Choice Learning Centers, Inc. Child Care Payment Agreement

Submitted at the meeting: business card from Tina Pritchett and a flyer and booklet about Children's Choice Learning Centers

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director, Human Resources, introduced TINA PRITCHETT, Marketing Manager, Children's Choice Learning Centers, to answer any questions regarding the subject contract. MS. ENUS thanked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL for his assistance in this matter. She indicated that the employees would pay market rate for childcare at the subject facilities.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned the incentives given to employees for contracting with Station Casinos for daycare. MS. ENUS replied that a survey was performed where the employees indicated that they are interested in participating. They can opt for as little as a few hours up to full childcare service. The facilities are open 24/7.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Human Resources

Item 110 – Discussion and possible action regarding agreement with Children’s Choice Learning Centers to provide childcare services for City of Las Vegas employees

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD failed to see the benefit and stated that if employees are to pay market rate, then maybe the City should contract with all childcare providers that also offer 24/7 care. She even wondered whether the City should issue a request for proposal to try and obtain the best deal for City employees. She felt that the City is giving Boulder Station a competitive advantage.

MS. ENUS indicated that the City followed the lead of City of Henderson, Las Vegas Valley Water District, and UMC.

MS. PRITCHETT advised that by contracting with Boulder Station, the City would give its employees the opportunity to use the many Station childcare facilities and take advantage of all they have to offer, such as an on-site nurse and guaranteed open slots.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with MS. ENUS that approval of this matter would not preclude any other childcare group from coming in to offer the same opportunity. MS. ENUS added that this was the best option for City employees, as determined through an employee survey.

TOM RICKETTS, City Employees Association President, interjected that any incentives that could be offered, without cost to the taxpayers, to City employees would be an asset. He endorsed contracting with Boulder Station to make it available as an option to the employees and hoped that other childcare providers who might offer extended benefits would not be precluded. He offered to survey the employees.

MAYOR GOODMAN clarified that the contract with Boulder Station Children’s Choice would be tantamount to joining an exclusive country club without having to go through the board of directors to obtain membership. MS. ENUS stressed that it is only being offered as an option to employees. Also, this program offers many amenities that were not found in other programs.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, questioned if school-aged children would be bused to and from the schools, or would they be released so that they can walk into the casinos. MS. PRITCHETT answered that all their facilities are separate from the hotel and casinos.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD verified with MS. PRITCHETT that, based on the employee survey, there will be enough capacity to handle the demands and that no one would be turned away.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Human Resources

Item 110 – Discussion and possible action regarding agreement with Children’s Choice Learning Centers to provide childcare services for City of Las Vegas employees

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN REESE commented that this would really help employees.

There was no further discussion.

(12:39 – 12:51)

**3-1215**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES****DIRECTOR: JOSEPH MARCELLA**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Presentation of the City of Las Vegas Kidz Website

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In an effort to educate our youth and provide on-line services to our citizens, the Information Technologies Department has created a children's website with an introduction to local government, the City's elective officials, over three hundred years of history, and activities for children from four years of age to twelve. This application enables children the opportunity to ask questions and receive an on-line response from city government.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is our recommendation that the Mayor, City Council and staff promote the Kidz website to your children, grandchildren, nieces, nephews, friends, neighbors, educators, civic and social organizations, and, most importantly, our citizens.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

"Paperless" Electronic Documentation is located at [www.clvkidzkorner.com](http://www.clvkidzkorner.com)  
Submitted at the meeting: flyer on the CLV Kids Korner Website

**MOTION:**

**None required. A presentation was made.**

**MINUTES:**

LOUIS CARR, Information Technologies Department, requested that a videotape be played and presented the first local government interactive kids website ([www.clvkidzkorner.com](http://www.clvkidzkorner.com)) in Southern Nevada designed to educate and entertain kids ages four through twelve on the City's history and about the responsibilities of City employees and their departments.

This endeavor was a joint effort between several City departments: Leisure Services, Neighborhood Services, Office of Communications, and Information Technologies. He recognized the various team members in the audience that participated: TIFFANY JACKSON, Neighborhood Services; ELAINE SANCHEZ, Office of Communications; and TRINA ROBINSON, Council Liaison. He also thanked the following members who could not be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Information Technologies

Item 111 – Presentation of the City of Las Vegas Kidz Website

**MINUTES – Continued:**

present: PERRY COYLE, Leisure Services; KIM EASLEY, Information Technologies; CARMEN SAMPSON, Information Technologies; KELLEY SCHWARTZ, Leisure Services; and ELGIN WILLIAM, Leisure Services.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that the music played in the video is “It’s a Small World.”

There was no further discussion.

(12:51 – 12:54)

**3-1687**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Short-Term Mobile Food Vendor Contracts in City of Las Vegas parks and recreational sites - All wards

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The issue of competition with non-profit sports leagues by licensed and permitted Mobile Food Vendors at City recreational sites has caused a moratorium on the issuance of the permits. Staff, as directed, has returned the issue to the City Council agenda for discussion and possible resolution

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Policies and Procedures for Short-Term Mobile Food Vendors
3. Short-Term Vendor Contract
4. Aerial Photographs of Mobile Food Vendor Locations

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting**

NOTE: CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC disclosed that he totally disqualified himself from this matter because his brother is a mobile food vendor.

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney  
ROY NELSON, Leisure Services  
TOM JERBIC  
FRANCINE SCALERO, New York Sabrette  
BEATRICE TURNER  
BEN SPRAGUE  
MIKE AKER

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Leisure Services

Item 112 – Discussion and possible action on Short-Term Mobile Food Vendor Contracts in City of Las Vegas parks and recreational sites

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed the following: that DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, brief the individual Council members on the contracts that Leisure Services can enter into; that ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN research whether a for-profit mobile food vendor could go onto a City site that is BLM RP&P; and that ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN clearly delineate the difference between an ice cream truck vendor and a mobile food vendor.

(12:54 – 1:39)

**3-1821**

**RECESS: 1:39 TO 2:03 P.M.**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding areas of emphasis for homeless services - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On September 13, 2001, and in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition is sponsoring a Homeless Summit, the goal of which is to reach consensus on key concerns facing Southern Nevada. To facilitate discussion, the City plans to present six areas of emphasis for participation at the Homeless Summit.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends accepting the six areas of emphasis with regard to addressing the homeless issues impacting the City of Las Vegas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo

Submitted at the meeting: PowerPoint pictures of the main areas where the homeless gather and sleep

**MOTION:****WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, reported that at the request of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition, the Department of Housing and Urban Development has contracted with Home Base, a private non-profit consultant out of San Francisco, to provide technical assistance with homeless services. As a result, on September 13, 2001, an all-day homeless summit to seek a Valley-wide consensus on a guiding vision for homeless services would be held.

Neighborhood Services and the Office of the City Manager have proposed four guiding principals to be affirmed at the summit, which are generally acceptable to all stakeholders: 1) help those who want help; 2) make a smart investment, given a limited amount of resources; 3) support primarily those populations in greatest need; and 4) support major service providers, relying on support from all community stakeholders.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 113 – Discussion and possible action regarding areas of emphasis for homeless services

**MINUTES – Continued:**

She pointed out that in helping those that want help, it is important to recognize that the City cannot be all things to all people. The City continues to provide important services to the homeless, despite bearing a disproportionate share of the burden associated with serving homeless. Over the past five years the City has expended over \$10 million annually toward the homeless issue. These funds have been used to support both capital investments and operating costs for emergency shelter, transitional and permanent housing, and supportive services.

In addition, the City spends approximately \$800,000 annually toward public maintenance of facilities, parks, and street sanitation of the neighborhoods adjoining the Main Street Corridor. These costs are due to the concentration of the homeless in a small area. Consequently, the quality of life for nearby residents is greatly impacted.

She stated that the City receives only one federal funding source that is earmarked specifically for homeless services. That entails \$143,000 of emergency shelter money. Other federal funding for homeless services is awarded directly to non-profit agencies.

The remainder of the City's discretionary federal funding is allocated for housing and community development activities. For example, Community Development Block Grant funding is used to support many child and senior programs. Federal HOME funding is also spread thin.

Given the compelling needs, which the City must address on an ongoing basis, and the limited resources, the Council should be applauded for its past commitment toward funding homeless services. Homeless funding that the City provides is essentially being taken away from other services. The issue of the homeless should be addressed on a regional basis.

DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS indicated that traditionally in local government the State has a great responsibility for the welfare of the communities, and that responsibility is distributed through the counties, which are considered agents of the State. In looking at the resources and responsibilities of Clark County on this matter, NRS 428.010 makes it very clear that the responsibility for the support and relief of the indigent population is the County's. It states: Every county shall provide care, support, and relief to the poor, indigent, incompetent, or those incapacitated by age, disease, or accident. Chapter 428 also provides authority which requires the County to collect an ad valorem tax for the purposes of caring for the indigent.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 113 – Discussion and possible action regarding areas of emphasis for homeless services

**MINUTES – Continued:**

The rate is to be no less than six cents and no more than ten cents for each \$100 of assessed valuation. In 2001, Clark County levied the full ten cents, which amounted to approximately \$33 million. The levy was reduced in budget year 2002 to 7.65 cents, about \$27.7 million, to reflect and offset the unspent balance in that fund of over \$9 million. In fiscal year 2000, the ad valorem tax generated \$31 million, as reported in the County's fund 238, with expenditures for that year of only \$25 million, leaving an operating surplus of \$6 million. The County's budget reflects that the bulk of those funds were used for medical services.

In essence, the County is responsible for providing care for the indigent population, and there is a very real and significant funding source to provide this care. There is no requirement for local municipalities to care for the homeless. The taxpayers in the various local municipalities are already collectively paying about \$16 million in ad valorem taxes toward the 238 Indigent Services Fund. The County unincorporated areas pay a little over \$18 million.

He advised that the County might choose to dismiss or downplay this legislation and its associated revenues as the high cost of providing medical services for the poor. Therefore, it might be worthwhile for the SNRPC or for the Homeless Coalition to ask for an independent audit of the 238 fund to assess where the funds are going and to determine if the funds could be better spent helping the poor and homeless. Homelessness is clearly a regional issue. State Legislature recognized this many years ago and therefore created fund 238, to which all taxpayers in the Valley contribute.

After confirming with DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS that this information has not been brought to the attention of THOM REILLY, who recently was appointed County Manager, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY remarked that it would only be fair to give MR. REILLY the opportunity to look into the situation and reply.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked if funding for Clark County Social Services is altogether separate or if it comes out of 238. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS believed that much of that funding comes from federal monies. He reiterated that further research should be done.

MAYOR GOODMAN invited the public to attend the Homeless Summit on September 13<sup>th</sup> at the Golden Nugget. It is a very important meeting. He noted that the City is going to do everything possible, regardless of its financial burden, to help those that cannot help themselves. However, he warned that those that are able-bodied would not be helped.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 113 – Discussion and possible action regarding areas of emphasis for homeless services

**MINUTES – Continued:**

Finally, MS. SEGERBLOM outlined the six guiding principals for the Summit: 1) partner with service corridor neighborhoods and businesses toward improving the safety, health, and cleanliness of the area; 2) sustain incentive-based homeless services and programs; 3) emphasize future federal funding dollars to support seniors and veterans; 4) support homeless programs for mentally ill; 5) develop transportation to and from any services that are needed; and 6) support homeless services on a regional basis.

BROTHER DAVE BEUER commented that among the homeless population it is difficult to determine who is able to help themselves.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked the City Council for closely looking into this issue. He countered that he is not anti-homeless, as he has been labeled because he wants the homeless to spread out and not be concentrated in Ward 5. This problem needs to be addressed regionally. He recognized YVONNE LEWIS from Mandalay Bay, who is very committed to getting involved in the homeless issue.

He pointed out that another issue that needs to be brought to the table is the J-1 Visa Program. This program gives doctors from other countries the opportunity to learn medicine in the United States in exchange for providing gratis services, at least 40 hours a week, to the indigent. He has not seen one J-1 Visa doctor operating in Ward 5. MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that what is happening with the J-1 Visa Program is fraudulent.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS to query MR. REILLY about the expenditures from the 238 fund.

There was no further discussion.

(2:03 – 2:28)

**4-229**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on an allocation in the amount of \$6,000 from the City of Las Vegas Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds to fund HomeBase for Homeless Planning Services - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount: \$6,000**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: CDBG****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On July 25, 2001, the SNRPC Homelessness Task Force approved a Work Plan for HomeBase to provide technical assistance for homeless strategic planning. Due to budgetary constraints, the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funding is \$20,000 less than the funds required to perform all activities identified in the Work Plan. To fully fund the approved Work Plan, the City will be providing to HomeBase \$7,000 in Clark County funds; \$6,000 in City CDBG funds; \$4,000 in City of Henderson funds; and \$3,000 in City of North Las Vegas funds.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that CDBG funds be approved in the amount of \$6,000, conditional on the receipt of funds from Clark County, the City of Henderson, and the City of North Las Vegas, as stipulated herein and authorize the Mayor to sign any and all necessary agreements.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. HomeBase Work Plan
2. Contribution Sharing Plan: \$7,000 from Clark County, \$4,000 from the City of Henderson, and \$3,000 from the City of North Las Vegas for funding the HomeBase Work Plan

**MOTION:****REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, indicated that on July 25, 2001, the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Homelessness Task Force approved a work plan for HomeBase to provide technical assistance for homeless strategic planning. The first public strategic planning effort would be held on September 13. Due to budgetary constraints, the Department of Housing and Urban Development was unable to fund the entire amount. She requested approval as recommended.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 114 – Discussion and possible action on an allocation in the amount of \$6,000 from the City of Las Vegas Community Development Block Grant funds to fund HomeBase for Homeless Planning Services

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

(2:28 – 2:29)

**4-1035**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the MASH Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$191,403**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: General Fund**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On November 23, 1998, City Council approved the use of General Fund monies in the amount of \$40,000 to assist S.V.D.P. Management, Inc. in providing 3 months of temporary winter shelter at the MASH Village site, with the understanding that the City would support the temporary shelter for three successive winters, or through March of 2001. Due in part to reconstruction activity at the St. Vincent Plaza operated by Catholic Charities, there is an increasing number of men sleeping on the street near the St. Vincent Plaza. Clark County has requested \$191,403 from the City to address this issue.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

None

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Clark County Board of Commissioners Agenda Item No. 154

**MOTION:**

**REESE – ABEYANCE to 9/19/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because he is an Advisory Board Member of M.A.S.H. Village**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services

BROTHER DAVE BAUER

FAYE JOHNSON, Neighborhood Services

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 115 – Discussion and possible action regarding request from Clark County to raise \$562,949 to provide nine months of basic, emergency shelter for 250 homeless men through the M.A.S.H. Village tent structure, including a requested \$191,403 from the City of Las Vegas

APPEARANCES – Continued:

PATRICIA PRICE MARTINELLI

BEATRICE TURNER

JAMES McGUINNESS

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas

TERESA JEFFERS, 3790 Royal Crest, #55

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19<sup>th</sup> Street

JOE MAVIGLIA

RUTH RULAND, M.A.S.H.

(2:29 – 3:18)

**4-1080**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding reprogramming \$100,000 in HOME/LIHTF funds to Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County (EOB) to complete construction of the 10 unit transitional housing project located at 501 West Adams - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$100,000
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> HOME/LIHTF

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

EOB would like to request an additional \$100,000 in HOME/LIHTF in order to complete the construction of the 10 units of transitional housing. They were awarded \$85,000 in HOME/LIHTF funds by the April 4, 2001, City Council for this project.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends approval of reprogramming these funds and authorizes the Mayor to execute the contract after approval by the City Attorney.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, introduced ANGELA QUINN, Housing Administrator, Economic Opportunity Board, who indicated that she was present to answer any questions.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MS. QUINN for postponing her 4:00 p.m. flight. He indicated that he toured the location of the proposed facility and feels that, in collaboration with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and the Economic Board, the concerns will be addressed. He indicated that the proposed project is very nice.

There was no further discussion.

(3:18 – 3:21)  
4-3267

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

CHILD CARE LICENSING BOARD – June Gilmore – Term Expiration 6-28-2003 (Resigned)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Child Care Licensing Board consists of five members appointed by the City Council with each member of the Council making one appointment. Currently, Las Vegas Municipal Code (LVMC) 6.24 governing this Board is being reviewed for expansion to seven members.

However, at the present time, membership remains at five. Ms. June Gilmore is the coterminous appointment of Councilman Michael McDonald and, with her resignation, Councilman McDonald is eligible to make this appointment. This member must be city resident and cannot be a licensed operator of a childcare facility. There is no limit to the number of terms that may be served.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It will be necessary for Councilman Michael McDonald to appoint a new representative as his coterminous appointment. This member must be a city resident, but cannot be a licensed operator of a childcare facility within the City of Las Vegas. The appointee's term will expire June 2003.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Memo from Manager of Business Services with resignation letter
2. Current Listing and Authority – Child Care Licensing Board
3. Board Interest Form – Barbara A. Fraser

**MOTION:****M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(3:21)

4-3391

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Earle W. White, Jr., Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Robert J. Fleming, Term Expiration 4-14-2001; Linda Young, Term Expiration 5-12-2001

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1993, Ordinance No. 3699 was enacted abolishing the previous Ethics Committee and establishing an Ethics Review Board consisting of five members for terms of four years with no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 were to appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair, subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Earle White, appointed in 1997 by Mayor Jones, has served as Chair, and Robert Fleming, appointed in 1997 by Councilman Reese, has served as Vice Chair of this Board. Ward 4 Councilman Callister appointed Linda Young in 1997. Mr. White, Mr. Fleming and Ms. Young are eligible for reappointment. At the August 1, 2001 Council Meeting, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted which expanded the existing Board from 5 to 7 members, keeping the initial appointment process intact. Consequently, these three seats remain eligible for appointment. At the Council Meeting of August 15, Mayor Goodman abeyed this item to September 5, 2001.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Options are:

Mayor Goodman to reappoint Mr. White OR appoint another citizen as Chairman with Council confirmation;

Councilman Reese to reappoint Mr. Fleming OR appoint another citizen as member;

Councilman Brown to reappoint Ms. Young OR appoint another citizen as member.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
2. Board Interest Forms – James Akers and Renn Reed

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Boards and Commissions  
Item 118 – Ethics Review Board

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN, REESE, and BROWN made a motion to hold in ABEYANCE their appointments to 10/3/2001 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board**

Clerk to notify

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(3:21 – 3:22)  
4-3435

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on the two or four year appointments of the Ward 5 and Ward 6 representatives on the Ethics Review Board in accordance with Ordinance No. 5436, adopted August 1, 2001

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the City Council Meeting of August 1, 2001, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted increasing the membership on the Ethics Review Board from 5 to 7 members. This membership increase is in accordance with the increase in the membership of the Las Vegas City Council from 5 to 7 members. The Councilman for Ward 5 and the Councilman for Ward 6 are each eligible to make one appointment. Ordinance No. 5346 allows for the term of these initial appointments to be for either two or four years. At the Council Meeting of August 15, Councilman Weekly abeyed this item to September 5, 2001.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

As four of the five current Ethics Review Board members have term expirations in 2001 and one member has a term expiration in 2003, the City Clerk is recommending that these additional two members both be appointed for two-year terms. It is recommended that Councilman Weekly appoint the Ward 5 member with a term expiration of 2003 and Councilman Mack appoint a Ward 6 member with term expiration of 2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Revised Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – Motion to APPOINT DANAE ADAMS, c/o Clark County District Attorney's Office, 200 South 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because of his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board**

**and**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Boards and Commissions

Item 119 – Discussion and possible action on the two or four year appointment of the Ward 5 and Ward 6 representatives on the Ethics Review Board in accordance with Ordinance No. 5436

**MOTION:**

**MACK – Motion to Hold in ABEYANCE to 10/3/2001 the appointment for Ward 6 – UNANIMOUS**

**Clerk to notify**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK asked ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN if he could vote on his motion. ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN advised that a potential conflict would come from selecting a person to sit on the Board, not from holding the matter.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that MS. ADAMS would be an asset to the Board.

There was no further discussion.

(3:22 – 3:24)

**4-3502**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ETHICS REVIEW BOARD – Ida Gaines, Term Expiration 9-15-2001

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In 1993, Ordinance No. 3699 was enacted abolishing the previous Ethics Committee and establishing an Ethics Review Board consisting of five members with terms of four years with no limit to the number of terms which may be served. Council members from Wards 1 through 4 were to appoint one person to the Board with the Mayor designating the Chair, subject to confirmation by a majority of the City Council. Ward 1 Councilman Michael McDonald reappointed Ida Gaines in 1997. At the August 1, 2001 Council Meeting, Ordinance No. 5346 was adopted which expanded the existing Board from five to seven members, keeping the initial appointment process intact. Consequently, this seat remains eligible for appointment. There is no City residency requirement and no limit to the number of terms that may be served. Ms. Gaines is eligible for reappointment.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Options are:

Councilman Michael McDonald to reappoint Ms. Gaines OR appoint another citizen as member

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Current Listing and Authority – Ethics Review Board
2. Board Interest Forms – James Akers and Renn Reed

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – Motion to APPOINT LOUIS JOHNSON, 8445 Pacific Spring Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining due to his pending hearing before the Ethics Review Board**

Clerk to notify

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Boards and Commissions  
Item 120 – Ethics Review Board

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD and MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MS. GAINES for her service on the Ethics Review Board. COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that MR. JOHNSON has a background in law enforcement and would be an asset to this Board.

There was no further discussion.

(3:24 – 3:26)

**4-3633**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS**    ☐ **CONSENT**    ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

Discussion and possible action on the appointment of remaining members to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

At the City Council Meeting of August 15, 2001, 19 of the 21 members were appointed to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee. Appointments pending are Councilman Larry Brown's designee and the third Community At Large representative. The Community At Large representative will be appointed at a later date. Terms of appointment of these remaining members will also be until the conclusion of all Centennial activities occurs, unless otherwise replaced by Council action due to a member's resignation or inability to continue to serve. Membership is not transferable or assignable unless noted, and City residency is not required.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the Mayor and Council appoint Councilman Brown's designee to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Agenda Memo listing appointments previously made to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee and their categories

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – Motion to APPOINT THE HONORABLE RICHARD BRYAN, 300 S. 4th Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 – UNANIMOUS**

**and**

**GOODMAN – Motion to hold in ABEYANCE to 12/5/2001 the appointment of his final designee – UNANIMOUS**

**Clerk to notify**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Boards and Commissions

Item 121 – Discussion and possible action on the appointment of remaining members to the Las Vegas Centennial Celebration Committee

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that the forms for the Centennial License Plates be distributed throughout City Hall.

(3:26 – 3:29)

**4-3708**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Discussion and possible action to authorize and direct staff to negotiate and execute an Amendment to the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow between the City of Las Vegas and 21<sup>st</sup> Century Financial Ventures, LLC for the sale of land known as Parkway Center Lot 4 and Bonanza Street property - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Economic Development

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed Amendment is necessary to address key points to the future development of the land being sold by the City of Las Vegas to 21<sup>st</sup> Century Financial Ventures, LLC, and to provide direction to staff for negotiation of terms and authorization for City Manager to execute the proposed Amendment.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 8/27/2001 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval with authorization for the City Manager to execute the proposed Amendment to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and the post sale conditions and covenant agreement.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Disclosure of Principals
3. Site map

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, pointed out that the significance of this Amendment is that alternative access would be permitted through the northern portion of the 61-acre parcel in order to close escrow with 21<sup>st</sup> Century and the amendment would be executed on or before September 12, 2001.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Real Estate

Item 122 – Discussion and possible action to authorize and direct staff to negotiate and execute an Amendment to the Real Property Purchase and Sale Agreement and Escrow between the City of Las Vegas and 21<sup>st</sup> Century Financial Ventures, LLC

**MINUTES – Continued**

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned whether 21<sup>st</sup> Century Financial has asked for any funds. MS. CODER responded that they have not asked for any assistance.

There was no further discussion.

(3:29 – 3:30)

**5-130**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Reese

Discussion and possible action regarding a Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Retail Holdings One for the purchase of a 2.025 acre parcel and a 2.249 acre parcel located in the Enterprise Park for the development of a 42,500 square foot Vocational Trade Center and a 7,500 square foot Child Care Facility (Gain of \$651,675 - Industrial Revenue Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** Gain of \$651,675

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Economic Development

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Industrial Revenue Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Retail Holdings One will purchase two sites within the Enterprise Park, the first of which is 2.025 acres at \$3.50 per square foot for the purpose of building a 42,500 square foot Vocational Trade Center. This Center will provide vocational and trade skills for area residents at reasonable rates. The other site is 2.249 acres at \$3.50 per square foot for the purpose of constructing a 7,500 square foot Child Care Facility that will service employees in the Park and the community.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 8/27/2001 Real Estate Committee forwarded this item to Full Council with no recommendation due to two abstentions. Staff recommends approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Purchase and Sale Agreement, including exhibits consisting of Disclosure of Principals
2. Site map

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with REESE abstaining because the proposed barber college would be in direct conflict with his profession as a barber and WEEKLY abstaining because his friend would be an instructor at the proposed barber school**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Real Estate

Item 123 – Discussion and possible action regarding a Purchase and Sale Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Retail Holdings One for the purchase of a 2.025 acre parcel and a 2.249 acre parcel located in the Enterprise Park for the development of a 42,500 square foot Vocational Trade Center and a 7,500 square foot Child Care Facility

**MINUTES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that the contract includes an amendment to 42,500 in square footage.

LAUREN MILLER, Windmill Development and member of the Limited Liability Company developing the proposed project, presented site and elevation plans depicting two-story buildings, noting that the revised elevation plans for the third story have not been completed. The acre to the south of the site will house a childcare facility and the other acre will include satellite employee parking for the three-story building.

There was no further discussion.

(3:30 – 3:34)

**5-166**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-68** – Reduces to thirty-five percent the amount of adult inventory necessary to classify a bookstore as an adult bookstore and an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code presently treats an adult emporium as a sexually oriented business for locational purposes. The term "adult emporium" includes bookstores, video stores and other outlets whose inventory is made up of at least 51% adult material. This bill will reduce that threshold amount to 35%. The bill will also make a parallel adjustment in the definition of "adult bookstore" in the licensing regulations.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/13/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 7/5/2001; First Publication – 8/24/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-68

Submitted at the meeting: report on the secondary impacts of adult use businesses in the City of Denver by Sr. Litigation Counsel Henry and a document regarding Bill No. 2001-68 from Ms. Clark

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended with the findings of the city of Denver as Ordinance No. 5355 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting**

Clerk to proceed with second publication.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Recommending Committee  
Item 124 – 2001-68

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

JOHN REDLEIN, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY  
BILL HENRY, Sr. Litigation Counsel  
BEVERLY K. BRIDGES, Chief Deputy City Clerk  
JUANITA CLARK, Charleston Neighborhood Preservation Committee  
LEE HAINES, POIZ Group  
JOE MAVIGLIA

(3:34 – 3:52)

**5-268**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-77** – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1479 (Mayfair Area)  
Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$108,839

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction and installation of pavement, curb and gutter, storm drain, and streetlights. The boundaries of the District are located in the Mayfair subdivision bounded by 15th Street on the west, Fremont Street on the north, Bruce Street on the east, and Charleston Boulevard on the south.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/13/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 8/1/2001; First Publication – 8/24/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-77

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5356 – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting**

Clerk to proceed with second publication.

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(3:52)

5-876

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-78** – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1480 - Buffalo Drive (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$879,826.14

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, water mains, sewer laterals, and sewer mains.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/5/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/13/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and L.B. McDonald; First Reading – 8/1/2001; First Publication – 8/24/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-78

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5357 – UNANIMOUS**

Clerk to proceed with second publication.

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK congratulated COUNCILMAN BROWN for the effort he put into this SID.

There was no discussion.

(3:52 – 3:53)

**5-894**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-79** – Amends the Zoning Code to establish minimum development size requirements for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Zoning Code currently allows new motor vehicle sales as a conditional use. This bill will amend the Code to add an additional condition for new motor vehicle sales located in Centennial Hills. The additional condition establishes a minimum development size requirement of at least fifty acres, to include at least five new car dealerships.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/1/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-80** – Annexation No. A-0013-01(A) – Property Location: On the east side of Smith Street approximately 385 feet south of Vegas Drive; Petitioned By: Katherine Anne Kauder; Acreage: 0.47 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the east side of Smith Street approximately 365 feet south of Vegas Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. A single family dwelling has been constructed on the property. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 28, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-81** – Annexation No. A-0014-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Torrey Pines Drive and Buckaroo Avenue; Petitioned By: Jerry E. Ruley; Acreage: 0.79 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Torrey Pines Drive and Buckaroo Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. A single family dwelling has been constructed on the property. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 28, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-82** – Annexation No. A-0015-01(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Vegas Drive and Mountain Trail; Petitioned By: Bobby and Suzanne Brooks (previous owners); Present Owner: Patricia Smith; Acreage: 0.74 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning) R-E (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Lawrence Weekly

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Vegas Drive and Mountain Trail. The annexation is at the request of the previous owners in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. A single family dwelling has been constructed on the property. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 28, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-83** – Establishes rules, regulations and business licensing requirements for farmers' markets. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance & Business Services

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill proposes licensing standards and regulatory provisions for farmers' markets as well as for promoters of such activities. In addition to farm product vendors, this bill permits non-farm product vendors in limited numbers and locations at a farmers' market, upon their first obtaining and thereafter maintaining a valid permit. The annual license fee for a farmers' market promoter will be two hundred dollars for a primary location and fifty dollars annually for each additional promoted farmers' market. Non-farm product vendors will be required to pay a ten dollar permit fee each month.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill was held in abeyance to the 9/17/2001 Recommending Committee meeting by the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-84** – Adopts the Public Safety Element of the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.  
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last September the City Council adopted the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan, with the understanding that certain new and updated elements would be added to the Plan within the following months. This bill will adopt the Public Safety Element and incorporate it into the Las Vegas 2020 Master Plan.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2001-85** – Requires a distance separation of more than 1000 feet between a sexually oriented business and a teen dance center. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael McDonald

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will require a distance separation of more than 1000 feet between a sexually oriented business and a teen dance center. Because of the incompatibility of the uses, the requirement will apply to a proposed teen dance center as well as to a proposed sexually oriented business.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 9/19/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 8/27/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Council members Weekly and Reese; First Reading – 8/15/2001; First Publication – 9/7/2001

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-86** – Annexation No. A-0009-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of O'Hare Road, east of the Fort Apache Road alignment; Petitioned By: Barbara Singleton Trust, et al; Acreage: 13.68 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of O'Hare Road, east of the Fort Apache Road alignment. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-86 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and REESE

9/17/2001 Recommending Committee

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

(3:54 – 3:55)

**5-934**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-87** – Annexation No. A-0010-01(A) – Property Location: On the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Fort Apache Road; Petitioned By: Love Family Trust; Acreage: 10.25 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Log Cabin Way and Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-87 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and REESE

9/17/2001 Recommending Committee

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

(3:54 – 3:55)

**5-934**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-88** – Annexation No. A-0043-01(A) – Property Location: On the north side of Horse Drive, approximately 330 feet east of El Capitan Way; Petitioned By: Shriner's Childrens Hospital, et al; Acreage: 10.32 acres; Zoned: R-A (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Horse Drive, approximately 330 feet east of El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (October 12, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-88 and Location Map

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and REESE

9/17/2001 Recommending Committee

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

(3:54 – 3:55)

**5-934**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NEW BILL:**

**Bill No. 2001-89** – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1478 - Vegas Drive (Rancho Drive to I-15) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$216,082.77

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** PW/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, median islands with left turn lanes and/or continuous left turn lane, "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, driveway approaches, water laterals and mains, sewer laterals and mains, and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2001-89

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and REESE

9/17/2001 Recommending Committee

9/19/2001 Council Agenda

(3:54 – 3:55)

**5-934**

**THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 3:55 P.M.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD announced that she received a request from the applicant to hold in abeyance for two weeks Item 146 [V-0046-01].

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that he would be meeting with the applicant to further discuss issues regarding Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 9/19/01 City Council meeting.

(4:58 – 5:01)

**6-670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

***CONSENT AGENDA***

NO ITEMS

***DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS***

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

- 139 **Z-0068-85(56)** - City of Las Vegas on behalf of Hy-Ray Development, Limited Liability Company
- 140 **Z-0102-96(5)** - Cumorah Credit Union on behalf of Hadfield Development, Inc.

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 141 **Z-0057-98(2)** - Nine Parked Place, Limited Liability Company and Logan Realty of Nevada, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Logan Realty of Nevada, Limited Liability Company
- 142 **SD-0025-01** - Plaster Development Company, Inc.

MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 143 **MSH-0002-01** - City of Las Vegas

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 144 **VAC-0019-01** - Weingarten Nostat, Inc.
- 145 **VAC-0020-01** - Weingarten Nostat, Inc.

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 146 **V-0046-01** - Roesener and Wegner-Roesener Trust
- 147 **V-0047-01** - Trophy-Desert, Limited Liability Company
- 148 **V-0050-01** - Manuel and April Gil
- 149 **V-0053-01** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of September 5, 2001

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0053-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 150 **Z-0007-61(2)** - WPI-Owens, Limited Liability Company on behalf of World Premier Investments
- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 151 **V-0056-01** - William Hodshon
- REZONING RELATED TO V-0056-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 152 **Z-0045-01** - William Hodshon
- REQUIRED NINE MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 153 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0091-99(2)** - Lucky Champ, Inc. on behalf of Vinay Bawa
- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 154 **U-0148-89(3)** - Hooper Industries, Limited on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 155 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0057-01** - KIR Charleston 036, Limited Liability Company
- 156 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0072-01** - Buyers Syndicate
- 157 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0073-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 158 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0074-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 159 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0075-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 160 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0076-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 161 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0077-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 162 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0078-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 163 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0079-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 164 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0080-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 165 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0081-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 166 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0082-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 167 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0083-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 168 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0084-01** - Centennial Centre, Limited Liability Company
- 169 **U-0100-01** - Rebecca Whitlock on behalf of Christine Essex
- 170 **U-0104-01** - Craig Marketplace
- 171 **U-0108-01** - McDonald's Corporation on behalf of Enterprise Leasing West
- 172 **U-0109-01** - Charleston Heights Shopping Center on behalf of Enterprise Leasing Company West



# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of September 5, 2001

## REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 173 **Z-0048-01** - Mark and Zoya Khalili, Et Al on behalf of S.B.A. Development, Inc.  
174 **Z-0056-01** - Gary A. Bobbs

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0056-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 175 **Z-0056-01(1)** - Gary A. Bobbs

## GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 176 **TABLED ITEM - GPA-0001-01** - Sandra Norris 1990 Living Trust

## REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0001-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 177 **TABLED ITEM - Z-0001-01** - Sandra Norris 1990 Living Trust

## GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 178 **GPA-0022-01** - Sandra Norris 1990 Living Trust on behalf of Beazer Homes Holdings Corporation

## REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0022-01 PUBLIC HEARING

- 179 **Z-0049-01** - Sandra Norris 1990 Living Trust on behalf of Beazer Homes Holdings Corporation

## VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0022-01 & Z-0049-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 180 **V-0054-01** - Sandra Norris 1990 Living Trust on behalf of Beazer Homes Holdings Corporation

## SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0022-01, Z-0049-01 & V-0054-01 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 181 **Z-0049-01(1)** - Sandra Norris 1990 Living Trust on behalf of Beazer Homes Holdings Corporation

## GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 182 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0036-00** - Sahara Westlake Associates

## REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0036-00 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 183 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0112-00** - Sahara Westlake Associates

## GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 184 **GPA-0020-01** - Deutsch Family Trust on behalf of Sanford Deutsch Enterprises  
185 **GPA-0025-01** - City of Las Vegas

# *City of Las Vegas*

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of September 5, 2001

- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 186 GPA-0021-01** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER PLAN DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS RELATED TO GPA-0021-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 187 Z-0016-98(7)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of KB Home Nevada, Inc.
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 188 GPA-0023-01** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of the Clark County School District
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0023-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 189 Z-0052-01** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of the Clark County School District
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0023-01 AND Z-0052-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 190 Z-0052-01(1)** - Iron Mountain Ranch Alliance, Limited Liability Company on behalf of the Clark County School District
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 191 GPA-0026-01** - Clark County School District on behalf of the City of Las Vegas
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0026-01 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 192 Z-0055-01** - Clark County School District on behalf of the City of Las Vegas

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0068-85(56)** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS ON BEHALF OF HY-RAY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 55,483 SQUARE FOOT MEDICAL OFFICE COMPLEX on 6.2 acres approximately 400 feet southeast of the intersection of Tenaya Way and Box Canyon Drive, (APN: 138-15-810-013), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

RICK MURRAY, 4250 Arville Street #76, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(5:06 – 5:07)

**6-982**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 139 – Z-0068-85(56)

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The applicant shall submit a revised landscape plan depicting 24-inch box trees spaced twenty (20) feet on-center along Box Canyon Drive; 24-inch box trees spaced thirty (30) feet on-center along the south and west property lines; and 24-inch box Aleppo Pine trees and Mexican Fan Palms as indicated on the submitted landscape plan. All landscaping shall conform to the Las Vegas Urban Design Standards and Guidelines.
2. Revise the site plan to provide minimum seven-foot sidewalks, or the required wheel stops and a pedestrian connection to the park adjacent to the site.
3. Wallpack lighting on the front of the building shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 139 – Z-0068-85(56)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied

**Public Works**

12. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk), if any, on Box Canyon Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with on-site development activities.
13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a and shall be aligned opposite to or offset a distance acceptable to the Traffic Engineer from existing driveways.
14. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-68-85 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **Z-0102-96(5) - CUMORAH CREDIT UNION ON BEHALF OF HADFIELD DEVELOPMENT INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the Perimeter and Parking Lot Landscaping Requirements FOR A PROPOSED 6,277 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE BUILDING ON 0.58 acres at 7660 West Sahara Avenue (APN: 163-03-415-007), U (Undeveloped) Zone [O (Office) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – ABEYANCE TO 10/3/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD requested this item be held in abeyance in order to contact the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(5:07 – 5:09)

**6-1021**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0057-98(2)** - **NINE PARKED PLACE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND LOGAN REALTY OF NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LOGAN REALTY OF NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 43,700 SQUARE FOOT AUTOMOBILE DEALERSHIP on approximately 8.84 acres on the north side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 650 feet west of Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 163-03-806-003 and 004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [GC (General Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****1****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 8 as follows:

- Free-standing signage shall be limited to one monument sign, with a maximum height of *29 feet*, along the Sahara Avenue street frontage. The sign shall utilize materials and colors reflecting the commercial buildings.

and the following added conditions:

- Applicant to extend the landscaping to the east property line.
- Applicant to lay Type 2 gravel on the undeveloped 2-acre parcel. Any proposal for development of that parcel will be required to go before Planning Commission and City Council as a public hearing.
- Two-acre parcel shall be for new car sales only, closed on Sundays.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 141 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0057-98(2) Nine Parked Placed, LLC and Logan Realty of Nevada, LLC

**MOTION – Continued:**

– **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** excused, **MACK** abstaining because of a financial interest with Joe Scala and pending litigation and **BROWN** abstaining because of pending litigation

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD YOUNGBLOOD, 5808 W. Spring Mountain Road, appeared on behalf of Acura of Las Vegas. He explained that the proposed development will be located next to the current Mitsubishi dealership. Other adjacent dealerships include Jaguar and Hyundai.

STEWART NIEMS, 2220 Montessouri Street, stated that he had met with the developer and as a result an agreement was reached on most of the conditions with the exception of a 2-acre undeveloped parcel. As spokesperson for the adjacent residents, he expressed their concern about the undeveloped portion being used as a used-car lot with Sunday sales.

COUNCILMAN BROWN, in reviewing the backup documentation, stated that there was no reference to any of the people he is in litigation with. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT stated that it would be advisable as a means of caution to abstain.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, concurred with MR. NIEMS' comments. He also wondered if there was a problem with the signage. MR. YOUNGBLOOD affirmed that the proposal is for a standard sign under 29 feet which was agreeable to the Planning Department. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, declared that he was not aware of any problems with the signage but wanted to clarify that surrounding businesses do have signs that are as high as 40 feet.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD interjected that he, like MR. NIEMS, is concerned about the undeveloped 2-acre portion, especially since the initial request was for 8.8 acres, but only 6.8 acres are targeted for development. MR. YOUNGBLOOD announced that when the owner purchased the property from JOE SCALA, there was an option for repurchase of all or a portion of the property for the same price that it was bought for. MR. YOUNGBLOOD asserted that that parcel is for sale to whomever wishes to purchase, so he has no idea what type of venture is being considered. MR. NIEMS argued that two acres is not sufficient for a full-scale dealership and the concern is that it could revert into a used car lot or remain an empty dust riddled parcel. He reminded everyone that the initial request was to rezone eight acres. The plan was to construct one continuous dealership on the entire acreage.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 141 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0057-98(2) Nine Parked Placed, LLC and Logan Realty of Nevada, LLC

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD exclaimed that he would approve the entire 8.8 acres but not without a number of stipulations. First, no used car dealership would be permitted. Second, the 2-acre parcel must be paved and maintained. Lastly, he suggested that the lot could be a collaborative effort between the Acura and Saturn dealerships to provide employee parking. COUNCILMAN McDONALD advised MR. YOUNGBLOOD to contact the property owner to determine if feasible adjustments could be considered that would be amicable to the neighborhood and meet the standards established by the City. COUNCILMAN REESE provided assurance that no matter what is proposed for the vacant parcel, it would require City Council approval. Until such time as approval is obtained, the applicant must at least blacktop the parcel and install and maintain all landscaping.

MR. GENZER mentioned that the two acres had not been subdivided resulting in the discrepancy. To reassure the process is adhered to, COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that if the application is approved, a parcel map would be required illustrating the subdivision of the two acres, to be submitted to the Planning and Development Department.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD indicated her concern that the vacant parcel might entice a use least expected by the neighborhood such as a tattoo parlor that is allowed in a C-2 zone. MR. NEIMS expressed that as the neighborhood's concern as well.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD moved to trail this item until such time as MR. YOUNGBLOOD was able to contact the owner.

MR. YOUNGBLOOD contacted MR. LOGAN who was agreeable to accepting the additional conditions. Specifically, MR. YOUNGBLOOD enumerated the agreement to landscape the front of the property to prevent any access onto it; to put in Type 2 gravel and to accept the condition that the lot is for new car dealerships only.

MR. NIEMS was pleased with the applicant's concurrence and suggested that the lot could be used for existing dealership storage or for new car dealerships.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE asked about the block wall, to which MR. YOUNGBLOOD responded that there is one at the rear of the property. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 141 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0057-98(2) Nine Parked Placed, LLC  
and Logan Realty of Nevada, LLC

**MINUTES – Continued:**

GREEN replied to MAYOR PRO TEM REESE’S query that Council may stipulate only new cars sales for the 2-acre parcel. MR. GENZER concurred with the City Attorney’s response and added that whatever is proposed for the parcel must come back with notice to the neighbors.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:09 – 5:27/5:35 – 5:38)

**6-1071/6-2075**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. No display of vehicles in the landscape berm.
2. No employee parking on Via Olivero Avenue.
3. No parking on vacant lot to the east.
4. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
6. The site plan shall be revised to depict 88 customer parking spaces or a Variance shall be approved by the City Council to reduce that requirement prior to the issuance of any building permits.
7. Any wall signage on the north side of the building, facing residences, shall be non-illuminated.
8. Free-standing signage shall be limited to one monument sign, with a maximum height of eight feet, along the Sahara Avenue street frontage. The sign shall utilize materials and colors reflecting the commercial buildings.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 141 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0057-98(2) Nine Parked Placed, LLC and Logan Realty of Nevada, LLC

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. No test drives are allowed on Via Olivero Avenue.
10. Landscaping and wall design shall match the properties to the east and west along Via Olivero Avenue.
11. The installation and use of an outdoor public address or bell system is prohibited.
12. Submit revised building elevations depicting the north façade to include comparable façade textual treatments as proposed on the other three facades, specifically horizontal trim and cornice lines, and vertical façade indentations reflecting the window openings as depicted on the south, east and west façades.
13. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
14. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC Section 19A.08.060 (C).
15. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
16. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
17. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
18. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, around the entire service parking area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 141 – Site Development Plan Review – Z-0057-98(2) Nine Parked Placed, LLC  
and Logan Realty of Nevada, LLC

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

19. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. The site shall be designed such that all delivery vehicles can maneuver for loading and unloading on-site; there shall be no parking, loading or unloading of vehicles within the Sahara Avenue public right-of-way.
20. If such has not already been established, submit a copy of a recorded Joint Access Agreement for the proposed shared driveways at the east and west edges of this site prior to the issuance of permits.
21. Vehicles shall be neither displayed nor stored within the public right-of-way adjacent to this site.
22. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0057-98 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0025-01 - PLASTER DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC. ON BEHALF OF SIGNATURE HOMES** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 85 LOT RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 13.02 Acres located east of Michael Way and south of Madre Mesa Drive (APN's: 138-13-701-018, 028 and 041), U (Undeveloped) Zone [R (Rural Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD6 (Residential Planned Development - 6 Units per Acre), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted prior to the meeting: Letter from the American Wheelchair Veterans Association and enclosing a copy of a letter from the applicant enumerating agreed-upon conditions.

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 3 as follows:

- The applicant shall provide three 24-inch box Mondel Pine trees each adjacent to the rear property line of Lots 6 through 19. The trees shall be located along the eastern property line of the parcel abutting these lots to the west, adjacent to each respective lot.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 142 – Site Development Plan Review – SD-0025-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TAMARA KOLSTAD, representing Plaster Development Company, 801 South Rancho Drive, Suite #E-4, referenced the site development plan as approved by the Planning Commission and concurred with all conditions as recommended by staff.

JAMES SMITH, President of the American Wheelchair Veterans, stated that they have ten acres adjacent to the proposed site project. MR. SMITH explained that the previous property owner constructed a house that faced his property, but had agreed that the house would be one story with an 8-foot wall between the properties. At the time, the property was in the County. Since then, it has been annexed into the City. MR. SMITH stated that he received a letter from the applicant wherein they agreed to certain terms and conditions. A copy of the letter was forwarded to the City Council.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed that the conditions agreed upon as listed by the applicant have been incorporated into the conditions for the site development plan

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:27 – 5:32)

**6-1748**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Dwellings on Lots 6 through 19 shall have a maximum height of 25 feet.
2. There shall be no second story windows on the west side of dwellings located on Lots 6 through 19, with the exception of bathroom windows, which are allowed with an opaque-type glass.
3. The applicant shall provide three 24-inch box Mondel Pines each for Lots 6 through 19. The trees shall be located along the western property line of each respective lot.
4. The applicant shall have constructed an eight-foot high block wall, along the rear (west) property lines for Lots 6 through 19. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 142 – Site Development Plan Review – SD-0025

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

5. All dwellings located on Lots 6 through 19 shall maintain a minimum rear yard setback of 20 feet.
6. The applicant must file form 7460-1 with the Federal Aviation Administration and must obtain either a permit from the Clark County Director of Aviation or a Variance from the Airport Hazard Areas Board of Adjustment prior to construction of any houses that are more than twenty (20') feet in height above ground level.
7. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 20 feet to the front (street side) of the house, 20 feet to the front of the garage, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear (interior side).
8. The landscape plan shall be revised to indicate minimum 24-inch box trees in all perimeter landscape planters.
9. The perimeter landscape planters shall be indicated on the Tentative Map and ultimately platted as separate common lots.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. The applicant shall construct a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 142 – Site Development Plan Review – SD-0025

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

15. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.

Public Works

16. The proposed private street widths shall be revised to comply with the Title 18 – Subdivision Ordinance private street standards. The Tentative Map for this site shall reflect compliance with such requirements.
17. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Roberta Lane.
18. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Madre Mesa Drive and Roberta Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
19. Coordinate with the Collection System Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer in Roberta Lane to the west edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
20. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this subdivision site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
21. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entries shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
22. A Master Streetlight plan shall be submitted and approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 142 – Site Development Plan Review – SD-0025

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

23. Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
24. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
25. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MASTER PLAN OF STREETS AND HIGHWAYS AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSH-0002-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend the Master Plan of Streets and Highways to indicate that Peak Drive is an 80-foot secondary roadway between Tenaya Way and Buffalo Drive, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed Public Works to email him a chronological list of anticipated signals along Tenaya Way.

(5:32 – 5:33)

**6-1951**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 143 – MSH-0002-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Public Works

1. Upon development, additional rights-of-way for dedicated right turn lanes, dual left turn lanes, and/or appropriate transition elements shall be required at the discretion of the Traffic Engineer.
2. City Staff is empowered to modify this application, if necessary, because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City of Las Vegas requirements are still complied with and the intent of the submitted Master Plan of Streets and Highways is not changed.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0019-01 - WEINGARTEN NOSTAT, INC. -  
Petition to vacate a public drainage easement, generally located south of Charleston Boulevard,  
west of Arville Street, Ward 1 (McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff  
recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN  
excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE ENGEL appeared on behalf of WEINGARTEN NOSTAT, INC. and stated that the  
applicant agrees with the conditions as recommended by staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 144 – VAC-0019-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 144 [VAC-0019-01] and Item 145 [VAC-0020-01] was held under Item 144 [VAC-0019-01].

(5:33 – 5:34)

**6-1993**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
2. Grant appropriate alternative or additional easements required to preserve the existing drainage paths. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
3. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
4. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0020-01 - WEINGARTEN NOSTAT, INC. -  
Petition to vacate a public ingress/egress easement generally located on the south side of  
Charleston Boulevard, approximately 400 feet west of Arville Street, Ward 1 (McDonald). The  
Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE ENGEL, on behalf of WEINGARTEN NOSTAT, INC. said that the applicant concurs  
with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 145 – VAC-0020-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 144 [VAC-0019-01] and Item 145 [VAC-0020-01] was held under Item 144 [VAC-0019-01].

(5:34 – 5:35)

**6-1993**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to recordation of an Order of Vacation.
3. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
4. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0046-01 - ROESENER AND WEGNER-ROESENER TRUST** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED 44 FOOT 3 INCH TALL RESIDENTIAL STRUCTURE WHERE 35 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT ALLOWED at 9811 Orient Express Court (APN: 138-31-314-008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-2-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**9**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD** – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the **WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice** of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – **UNANIMOUS** with **GOODMAN** excused

**MINUTES:**

**COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD** announced that she received a request from the applicant to hold in abeyance for two weeks Item 146 [V-0046-01].

There was no further discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

**6-670**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 146 – V-0046-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Variance shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments that are not affected by the approval of this Variance must be satisfied.
3. Approval and Conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0017-90) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0017-90(2)].

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0047-01 - TROPHY-DESERT, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN EXISTING TENNIS COURT TO HAVE A ZERO FOOT SETBACK FROM THE SIDE AND REAR PROPERTY LINES WHERE FIVE FEET IS THE MINIMUM SETBACK ALLOWED at 2290 Villefort Court (APN: 163-03-416-005), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRUCE MARTIN, 8100 West Charleston Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:38 – 5:40)

**6-2242**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 147 – V-0047-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0037-98), and all other site-related actions.
2. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0050-01** - **MANUEL AND APRIL GIL** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN EXISTING DETACHED ACCESSORY STRUCTURE ZERO FEET FROM THE SIDE PROPERTY LINE WHERE THREE FEET IS THE MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK ALLOWED at 7751 Via Olivero Avenue (APN: 163-03-402-010), R-D (Single Family Residential-Restricted) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**1**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (5-1-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter from Somerset Properties, LLC dated March 14, 2001

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED** subject to conditions and the added conditions as proposed by the adjacent owner:

- The outside of the wall must be finished in stucco and painted to match the applicant's residence.
- The adjoining existing wall that is on the property line must be textured with the same finish.
- All work must be done in a professional and workman-like manner including the protection of the block wall that is on Somerset Properties, LLC's property.
- The landscape area on Somerset Properties may be damaged during construction and shall be repaired to its original condition.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 148 – V-0050-01

NOTE: A previous motion, which carried unanimously with GOODMAN excused was made to bring forward Item 148 [V-0050-01] as the applicant had to attend the Yucca Mountain meeting being held that evening.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

APRIL GIL, 7751 Via Olivero Avenue, owner/applicant, explained that the requested variance is for the construction of a garage that will be used to store household items and recreational vehicles. At the time of purchase, the adjacent properties were declared residential; however, in 1996 the designation was changed to commercial and subsequently an office complex and parking lot was built. MS. GIL states that the parking lot provides access for potential intruders and causes aesthetic problems. She presented a letter from the adjacent property owner for the record.

PAUL WILKINS, Director of Building and Safety, asserted that he had met with the applicant to clarify the building codes and although the applicant had constructed the building in conformance with code standards, it was built without the required permits. Based upon MR. WILKINS' advice, the applicant agreed to pay a double fee. COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned whether there was a problem with the construction of the fire wall. MR. WILKINS confirmed that although there was a concern because it was too close to the property line, the Uniform Building Code for the fire wall has been met.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, felt that there should be an agreement with the adjacent property owner addressing the maintenance.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked whether the applicant would agree to repairing the stucco should any damage occur. MS. GIL concurred stating that they are willing to take on and maintain the integrity of the wall.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:01 – 5:06)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 148 – V-0050-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments, which are not affected by the approval of this Variance, must be satisfied.
3. Submit complete plans to the Building and Safety Department for review and permits.
4. If the Building and Safety Department requires removal of the accessory structure, which is the subject of this request, this Variance becomes null and void.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0053-01 - WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER INVESTMENTS - Request for a Variance to ALLOW A 20 FOOT REAR AND SIDE YARD SETBACK WHERE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY REQUIRES A MINIMUM REAR AND SIDE YARD SETBACK OF 66 FEET on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

6-670

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0053-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0007-61(2) - WPI-OWENS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WORLD PREMIER INVESTMENTS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 19,326 square foot commercial retail center on the southwest corner of the intersection of Pecos Road and Owens Avenue (APN: 139-25-501-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD** – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

6-670



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0056-01** - **WILLIAM HODSHON** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A FIFTY-FOOT WIDE LOT WHERE SIXTY-FEET IS THE MINIMUM ALLOWED IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED REZONING at 500 South Seventh Street (APN: 139-34-710-027), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Proposed: P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and L. McDONALD not voting and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, disclosed that property owned by his wife and her parents is located in the notification area, therefore, he has not been involved in the notification process.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant, WILLIAM HODSHON, 500 South 7<sup>th</sup> Street, was present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, commented that rezoning in this neighborhood is not in complete accordance with the 2020 Master Plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 151 – V-0056-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 151 [V-0056-01] and Item 152 [Z-0045-01] were held under Item 151 [V-0056-01].

(5:40 - 5:59)

**6-2321**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Variance is not exercised within One year from date of approval by the City Council it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0045-01 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO V-0056-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0045-01 - WILLIAM HODSHON** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-1 (Single Family Residential) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) at 500 South Seventh Street (APN: 139-34-710-027), PROPOSED USE: OFFICE, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and L. B. McDONALD not voting and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, disclosed that property owned by his wife and her parents is located in the notification area, therefore, he has not been involved in the notification process.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant, WILLIAM HODSHON, 500 South 7<sup>th</sup> Street, was present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 152 – Z-0045-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 151 [V-0056-01] and Item 152 [Z-0045-01] were held under Item 151 [V-0056-01].

(5:40 - 5:59)

**6-2321**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
3. Approval of Variance V-0056-01 for the lot width of the site.

Public Works

4. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a Reversionary Map to revert the underlying lot lines to acreage is necessary; if such reversionary map is required, it shall record prior to the issuance of any building permits for this site.
5. Dedicate a 10 foot radius on the southwest corner of Clark Avenue and Seventh Street prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-Way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.
6. Construct full-width alley improvements adjacent to this site, concurrent with development of this site.
7. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits,

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 152 – Z-0045-01

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall address the proposed circulation of traffic through the parking structure and shall address potential gated security areas, if proposed. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

10. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Seventh Street and Clark Avenue adjacent to this site.
11. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all private improvements located in the Seventh Street and Clark Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REQUIRED NINE MONTH REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0091-99(2) - **PUBLIC HEARING - LUCKY CHAMP, INC. ON BEHALF OF VINAY BAWA** - Required Nine Month Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed the off-premise sale of beer and wine in conjunction with a convenience store at 1420 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-703-008), C-M (Commercial/Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 6 as follows:

- The sale of individual containers of any size *bottled* beer, wine coolers or screw cap wine is prohibited. All such products shall remain in their original configuration as shipped by the manufacturer. Further, no repackaging of containers into groups smaller than the original shipping container size shall be permitted.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

VINAY BAWA, 1114 Deshields Avenue, explained that his request has been held in abeyance several times. He asked for Council approval and felt that the approval would allow him to compete with neighboring businesses to sell individual containers of beer and wine.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 153 – Abeyance Item – Required Nine Month Review – Special Use Permit – U-0091-99(2) – Lucky Champ, Inc.

**MINUTES – Continued:**

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, stated that competition is hardly a reason for selling singles. He declared that the sale of singles, even if it is aluminum, will still contribute to the problem.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, was in agreement with MR. CONTRERAS and recommended no single sales be approved until such time as a cleanup of the neighborhood occurs.

MR. BAWA exclaimed that he has had no police problems. Individuals who buy singles are purchasing their items from the convenience store across the street.

REVEREND ALBERT WILLIAMS stated that he had personally staked out the area and saw singles being sold by the nearby establishment. People park in the applicant's lot, make their purchases across the street and return to drink on the applicant's lot. He stated that if you allow one storeowner to sell singles and disallow the owner across the street from selling, it appears to be discriminatory.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed that the problem with the day laborers is certainly not MR. BAWA'S problem; however, it does impact his business and those in the surrounding areas, as well as the residential neighborhood. MR. BAWA replied that his inability to sell singles will not put an end to the problems that exist, simply because individuals can make their purchases anywhere. The problem might diminish if no one was allowed to sell singles.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MR. BAWA if he would consider eliminating all types of single glass sales, including screw cap bottles. He replied affirmatively. With that, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY proposed a six-month trial period, with the applicant returning to City Council for a review.

MR. GENZER recommended the modification of Condition No. 6. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reemphasized no sale of glass containers. He stated his intent is to focus on cleaning up the area, to rid the area of the scattered broken glass and make it a place for people riding through feeling safe.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD referenced a previous Council action that approved single sales with no differentiation between glass or aluminum cans. She believes the applicant should be given similar consideration.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

Planning & Development Department

Item 153 - Abeyance Item – Required Nine Month Review – Special Use Permit – U-0091-99(2) – Lucky Champ, Inc.

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stood by his motion with MR. GENZER confirming that the revised condition does not reference size, but it specifically states no glass.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(5:42 – 6:02)

**6-2391**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in six (6) months.
2. Compliance to all applicable Conditions of Approval for U-0091-99 and all subsequent site related actions.
3. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
4. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.
6. The sale of individual containers of any size of beer, wine coolers or screw cap wine is prohibited. All such products shall remain in their original configurations as shipped by the manufacturer. Further, no repackaging of containers into groups smaller than the original shipping container size shall be permitted.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -  
**U-0148-89(3) - HOOPER INDUSTRIES, LIMITED ON BEHALF OF ELLER**  
**OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Clear Channel Outdoor from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Hooper Industries, Limited on behalf of Eller Outdoor Advertising for a Required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot by 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign at 1712 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 139-33-406-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD** – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that he would be meeting with the applicant to further discuss issues regarding Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)]. Therefore, he requested that the item be held in abeyance to the 9/19/01 City Council meeting.

There was no further discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

6-670

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0057-01 - KIR CHARLESTON 036, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF VERIZON WIRELESS - Appeal filed by Spectrum Surveying and Engineering from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by KIR Charleston 036, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Verizon Wireless for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A 60-FOOT TALL WIRELESS COMMUNICATION FACILITY on 2.14 acres at 1800 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-02-510-008), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-0 vote) recommends DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Granting the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review subject to conditions and adding the following conditions:**

- The maximum height of the wireless communication facility shall be 60 feet.
- Applicant agrees to take corrective action should there be any interference relating to the gate system, security, short wave radios or mechanical equipment.

**– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY and L. B. McDONALD not voting and GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 155 – Abeyance Item – Special Use Permit – U-0057-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CHRIS WENER, Spectrum Surveying Engineering, 7351 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 120, appeared on behalf of Verizon Wireless. MR. WENER explained that since the initial submittal, several revisions have been made. The facility has been relocated to the north section of the property where the buildings are located and features a setback of 593 feet versus the original 147 feet. Verizon plans to take the existing light pole, remove and replace it with slim line antennas without arms then remount the light to the base.

EMILY HILL, Manager of Villa Monterey Apartments, 1270 Burnham Avenue, said that the residents are comfortable with the revised proposal with one exception. Should there be a type of interference with the security gate system, the short wave radios, or any mechanical devices, the applicant must cease work immediately or take immediate action to correct the interference.

MR. WENER confirmed his agreement of this stipulation and emphasized that federal law requires that any interruption or interference issue must be corrected promptly.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, expressed his support with the proposed wireless facility.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE thanked MR. WENER for meeting with the residents to mitigate their concerns.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:02 – 6:07)

**6-3451**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The applicant shall make good-faith effort to relocate the proposed monopole and support facility to an area of the commercial site without adjacency to residential uses.
2. The submitted elevations shall be revised to depict the surrounding wall to be of 20% contrasting, decorative materials.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 155 – U-0057-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0072-01** - **BUYERS SYNDICATE** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR TRUCK RENTAL (U-HAUL) at 1411 North Eastern Avenue (APN: 139-26-508-006), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 10/3/2001 – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused and L. B. McDONALD not voting**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant AL POLL, 3820 Thom Boulevard, was present. He stated that he had requested a variance to subsidize his business revenue by renting U-Haul trucks in addition to his fleet truck and auto repair business. He reviewed staff's recommendations but disagreed with the condition requiring the replacement of four existing driveways by two new driveways that meet the new standards. He mentioned that it would not be economically feasible since it would cost him upwards of \$20,000 to accomplish this, and the revenue that he anticipates from the U-Haul business would not be sufficient to cover this additional expense.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, felt that applicants who request special use permits should conform to all requirements as conditioned.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 156 – U-0072-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained to MR. POLL that he understood his dilemma; however, because of safety issues and the revised standards, the City is trying to upgrade neighborhoods and various parcels. CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, clarified that the proposed driveways allow for commercial traffic to access at a little higher rate of speed and it also allows for a little more radius, making it easier for large trucks to turn onto property. Staff believes it would be practical to consolidate the existing driveways into one or two driveways that meet current standards.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he supports staff's recommendations; however, in an effort to resolve some of the issues, he would be willing to hold this item in abeyance providing the applicant is willing to meet staff halfway on the conditions. The other option would be to approve this request requiring the applicant to comply with all conditions. MR. POLL acknowledged he would be willing to have his request held.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:07 – 6:15)

**7-64**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0073-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (TAVERN) to be located south of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 1,260 feet north Tropical Parkway (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Centennial Center. She stated that all of the conditions were reviewed and the applicant concurs with staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 157 – U-0073-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ANN IMHOF, 8209 Fawn Heather Court, spoke on behalf of the residents of Timberlake. She explained that at the Planning Commission meeting, the residents asked how it could be possible to even consider the various use permits without actually naming the tenants. She stated that the Town Center Standards within Centennial Centre are not being adhered to. The residents want to know the actual tenant named on each actual use permit and feels it is inappropriate to approve liquor special use permits to unknown individuals.

STEFAN RILEY, 7000 Steeple Court, cited several infractions of the Town Center Standards, including the use of banners and flags, an unattractive gas canopied structure, chain link fencing and monument signs in excess of the originally proposed 8-foot height. He went on to mention that the applicant met just once with the residents back in November of 1998. He suggested implementing a video information program apprising those who seek business licenses on what can and cannot be done in Town Center.

CAROL LeDUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, concurred with MR. RILEY's comments. She stated that if this application is any indication of the prototype of future development in Town Center, she would consider selling her house.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, voiced his concern regarding non-compliance of Town Center Standards by the current tenants. He also was concerned about the bike trail and whether there was a projected date for completion.

ATTORNEY LAZOVICH explained that leases have not been signed in order to acquire the entitlements to obtain the land use privileges to go forward. She stated that going forward is critical and they need to get the tenants in there. ATTORNEY LAZOVICH pointed out that once all is built, it will be a beautiful project, one that the neighborhood will be proud of. She disagreed with the criticism voiced by the previous speakers and stated that the applicant has made considerable financial investments in this project. She explained that the issues as noted by MR. RILEY must be directed to the non-abiding tenants.

Not knowing about the tenants beforehand, MAYOR PRO TEM REESE inquired about the opportunity to ask about hours of operation or the manner in which the business will be operated. He was concerned that if Centennial Centre chose the tenants, what recourse would the Council have should they have a problem with any of the tenants. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD ascertained that all prospective tenants would be required to apply for their business licenses, a process that requires Council approval. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT added that depending on the type of business, the tenant may need to submit a site review plan for approval.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 157 – U-0073-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD also stated that the City Council would have an opportunity to place conditions on items such as hours of operation, that they may have reservations about.

COUNCILMAN BROWN acknowledged that this type of approval, not knowing who the tenant is, was approved in the past. He assured that if a suggested use was questionable, Council has several options to pursue.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, clarified that because the original site plan was approved along with the standards established for the development, it would not be necessary for the tenants to come back for a site plan review unless there were any deviations from the original request. He affirmed that only the licensing process would be forwarded to City Council for action.

ATTORNEY LAZOVICH introduced RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC who was available to answer any questions. She mentioned that slight changes had been made to the original configuration.

COUNCILMAN BROWN announced staff's plans to brief himself and COUNCILMAN MACK on the latest updates regarding residential and commercial standards within Town Center. He invited whoever wanted to attend and welcomed all Councilmembers to participate in the discussions.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that violations as declared by MR. RILEY must be brought to the City's attention, either through meetings or directed to the Councilman's Office. He asked that a detailed list of violations be documented to enable the City to pursue enforcement.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01].

(6:16 – 6:46)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 157 – U-0073-01

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0074-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTRICTED GAMING ESTABLISHMENT IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED TAVERN to be located south of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 1,260 feet north Tropical Parkway (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 158 – U-0074-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0075-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 720 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 159 – U-0075-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0076-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 640 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 160 – U-0076-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0077-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 560 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 161 – U-0077-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0078-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 600 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****5****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 162 – U-0078-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0079-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED SUPPER CLUB on the north side of Tropical Parkway, approximately 690 feet west of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-004), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 163 – U-0079-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0080-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED GAMING ESTABLISHMENT (RESTRICTED) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the northeast corner of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-002), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<b>5</b>
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<b>0</b>
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 164 – U-0080-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0081-01 - CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the northeast corner of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-002), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="5"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 165 – U-0081-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This approval is limited to the sale of beer and wine only.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0082-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED CAR WASH on the northeast corner of the intersection of Tropical Parkway and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-28-610-002), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****5****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 166 – U-0082-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0083-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (TAVERN) on the south side of Centennial Center Boulevard, adjacent to the west side of US Highway 95 (APN: 125-28-610-003), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****5****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 167 – U-0083-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time has been granted.
4. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0084-01** - **CENTENNIAL CENTRE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR GAMING (RESTRICTED) IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED TAVERN on the south side of Centennial Center Boulevard, adjacent to the west side of US Highway 95 (APN: 125-28-610-003), TC (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**5**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with MACK abstaining because the subject property is adjacent to a parcel of land owned by an individual with whom he has a business relationship and GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he consulted the City Attorney's office and was advised that the connection most likely would not present a conflict of interest. Nevertheless, because there are several sensitive issues, he felt it best to avoid any appearance of conflict and abstained on Items 157 through 168.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, and RUSS DORN of Centennial Centre LLC, represented the applicant.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 168 – U-0084-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 157 [U-0073-01], Item 158 [U-0074-01], Item 159 [U-0075-01], Item 160 [U-0076-01], Item 161 [U-0077-01], Item 162 [U-0078-01], Item 163 [U-0079-01], Item 164 [U-0080-01], Item 165 [U-0081-01], Item 166 [U-0082-01], Item 167 [U-0083-01], Item 168 [U-0084-01] was held under Item 157 [U-0073-01],

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years after this approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0100-01 - REBECCA WHITLOCK ON BEHALF OF CHRISTINE ESSEX** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED HYPNOTHERAPY BUSINESS at 600 South Jones Boulevard (APN: 138-36-316-001), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). **(NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #105).** The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRISTINE ESSEX, 6450 Hammer Lane, explained that hypnotherapy is categorized under Psychic Arts and Astrology. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that the licensing staff is looking to separate it out. MS. ESSEX mentioned that if she decided to move the location of her business, she would be required to go through the entire process again. COUNCILMAN McDONALD mentioned to MS. ESSEX that they might call upon her for testimony.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 169 – U-0100-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 169 [U-0100-01] and Item 105 [Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Location for a Hypnotist License subject to the provisions of the planning codes, Christine Essex dba Christine Essex from 1833 West Charleston to 600 South Jones Boulevard] was held under Item 169 [U-0100-01].

(6:46 – 6:49)

**7-1358**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This approval does not constitute approval of a business license.
2. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
3. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

Public Works

4. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-0018-91(6) and all subsequent other site-related actions, and the Conditions of Approval of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis for Jones Boulevard and Upland Boulevard to Evergreen Avenue.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0104-01 - CRAIG MARKETPLACE**

- Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 3,729 SQUARE FOOT MINOR AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR FACILITY on the southeast corner of the intersection of Craig Road and Tenaya Way (APN: 138-03-701-018), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**11**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother-in-law is a tenant in the Craig Marketplace but he will be voting on this matter.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

RAGASA YAHMAN 1800 East Sahara Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with all of the conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he received a few protests from residents who lived over 1,000 feet away; however, he also received a lot of support from the community.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 170 – U-0104-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:49 – 6:51)

**7-1459**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this special use permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, this special use permit shall be null and void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments design standards shall be met.
3. All repair and service work shall be performed within a completely enclosed building.
4. Openings to the service bays shall not face public right-of-way and shall be designed to minimize the visual intrusion into adjoining properties.
5. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
6. No outside storage of stock, equipment, or residual used equipment shall be located or stored in any open area outside of the enclosed building.
7. All disabled vehicles shall be stored in an area which is screened from view from the surrounding properties and adjoining streets. Vehicles shall not be stored on the property longer than 45 days.

Public Works

8. In accordance with recorded Parcel Map 98-37, this pad site shall have perpetual common access to all driveways connecting the overall site to the abutting public streets.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 170 – U-0104-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
10. Site development to comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis and all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0071-99, the Craig and Tenaya (Commercial Subdivision) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0108-01 - McDONALD'S CORPORATION ON BEHALF OF ENTERPRISE LEASING COMPANY WEST -**

Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTOMOBILE RENTAL FACILITY at 4840 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 138-36-804-006), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CAROLYN JOHANSEN, Enterprise Lease Company West, 2700 Chandler Avenue, appeared on behalf of Enterprise Leasing Company West and agreed with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 171 – U-0108-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 172 [U-109-01] for related discussion.

(6:51 – 6:54)

**7-1524**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The use is limited to passenger vehicles only
3. No vehicles shall be offered for sale on the premises.
4. The installation and use of an outside public address or bell system is prohibited.
5. No used or discarded automotive part or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
6. No flags or banners or attention getting devices will be allowed on the cars or lease premises.
7. This site shall be subject to an Administrative Site Development Plan Review to establish the final site layout and maximum number of vehicles.
8. The existing pole supports for signage shall be removed. Freestanding signage shall be limited to one sign with a maximum height of 15 feet.
9. The two handicap spaces adjacent to the front of the building shall be revised to conform with the handicapped parking requirements of Section 19A.10.010 of the Las Vegas Zoning Code.
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 171 – U-0108-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

11. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
12. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. All new or modifications to existing driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a. Also, all new or modifications to existing driveways shall receive approval from the Nevada Department of Transportation.
13. No parking, delivery, or display of any rental vehicles shall be permitted within the public right-of-way adjacent to this site.
14. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Charleston Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0109-01 - CHARLESTON HEIGHTS SHOPPING CENTER ON BEHALF OF ENTERPRISE LEASING COMPANY WEST -**

Request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTOMOBILE RENTAL FACILITY at 5013 Alta Drive (APN: 138-36-701-014), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions with the added condition as follows:**

- The Special Use Permit will be subject to a six-month review from the date of this meeting.

**– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CAROLYN JOHANSEN, Enterprise Lease Company West, 2700 Chandler Avenue, appearing on behalf of Enterprise Leasing Company West, explained that this request is for a temporary location until such time as the permanent McDonald's location is completed. Enterprise is currently in the process of negotiating the purchase of that land.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 172 – U-0109-01

**MINUTES - Continued**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 171 [U-0108-01] for related discussion.

(6:52 – 6:53)

**7-1573**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire shall be valid for a period of one (1) year or until a Certificate of Occupancy is issued for the site at 4840 West Charleston Boulevard (U-0108-01), whichever occurs first.
2. The use is limited to passenger vehicles only
3. No more than ten (10) rental vehicles shall be stored on the site at any one time
4. No vehicles shall be offered for sale on the premises.
5. The installation and use of an outside public address or bell system is prohibited.
6. No used or discarded automotive part or equipment shall be located in any open area outside of an enclosed building.
7. No flags or banners or attention getting devices will be allowed on the cars or lease premises.
8. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. No parking, deliveries, or display of any rental vehicles shall be permitted within the public right-of-way nor within drive aisles accessing public streets adjacent to this site.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0048-01 - MARK AND ZOYA KHALILI, ET AL ON BEHALF OF S.B.A. DEVELOPMENT, INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [ML-TC (Medium Low – Town Center) and L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: TC (Town Center) on approximately 15 acres on the northeast corner of the intersection of Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-20-201-001, 009 and 010), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 2 as follows:

- **A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.**

**– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

**MAYOR PRO TEM REESE** declared the Public Hearing open.

**RUSSELL SKEWS**, 401 North Buffalo Drive, Suite #100, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 173 – Z-0048-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, requested that Condition #2 be amended to reflect the change of the word “or” to “and”.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(6:53 – 6:54)

**7-1622**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to approval of a Tentative Map, issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. In accordance with the proposed Town Center Development Standards, the site plan for this development shall be revised to show Deer Springs Way as an 80' Town Center Arterial. No homes shall front upon Deer Springs Way.
4. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Dorrell Lane, 50 feet for Fort Apache Road, 40 feet for Deer Springs Way, a 25 foot radius at the southeast corner of Dorrell Lane and Fort Apache Road and a 54 foot radius at the northeast corner of Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Way in accordance with Town Center standards prior to the issuance of any permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements Dorrell Lane, Fort Apache Road and Deer Springs Way adjacent to this site, including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. Dorrell Lane, Fort Apache Road, Deer Springs Way and all interior streets shall be constructed to comply with all applicable Town Center standards.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 173 – Z-0048-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site prior to occupancy of any units within this development.
7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend oversized public sewer in the El Capitan Way alignment to Dorrell Lane and extend public sewer in Dorrell Lane and Deer Springs Way to the western edges of this development to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. All required public sewer easements, if any, necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any offsite permits. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 173 – Z-0048-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
10. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0056-01** - **GARY A. BOBBS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) on approximately 5.59 acres on the north side of Alexander Road, approximately 330 feet east of the Cliff Shadows Parkway alignment (APN: 137-01-401-010), PROPOSED USE: MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, concurred with staff's recommendations. He affirmed that the zone change is in conformance with the Lone Mountain West Master Plan.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked how long it would take for the trails to be completed. He inquired whether or not the developer was responsible for doing his part of the trail or just setting aside the area. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE confirmed that the developer must set aside that area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 174 – Z-0056-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 175 [Z-0056-01(1)] for related discussion.

(6:54 – 6:68)

**7-1677**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Alexander Road prior to the issuance of any permits.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able, on Alexander Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
5. Construct a minimum of two lanes of paved access to this site concurrent with development of this site.
6. If not already constructed at time of development, extend public sewer in Alexander Road to the west edge of this site to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 174 – Z-0056-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. An update to the master Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0056-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0056-01(1) - GARY A. BOBBS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 98-UNIT APARTMENT DEVELOPMENT on approximately 5.59 acres on the north side of Alexander Road, approximately 330 feet east of the Cliff Shadows Parkway alignment (APN: 137-01-401-010), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: PD (Planned Development)], Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, represented the applicant.

COUNCILMAN BROWN referenced Condition No. 8 relating to the future development of Park 2. He asked ATTORNEY AMICK if the applicant was aware of the proposed per acre charge that is being negotiated by staff and the master developer. ATTORNEY AMICK responded affirmatively.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 175 – Z-0056-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 174[Z-0056-01] for related discussion.

(6:54 – 6:68)

**7-1677**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning (Z-0036-01) to a PD (Planned Development) Zoning District.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Submit a revised site plan for approval of the Planning and Development staff that depicts the required trail alignment along the southern and western portions of the property.
5. Construct the trail along the western portion of the property prior to 75% of the subdivision being completed.
6. Submit a revised site plan depicting six handicap parking spaces with proper access aisles.
7. Submit revised elevations that depict solid stucco-faced stairway railings and work with staff on elevation upgrades.
8. The applicant and Planning and Development staff shall cooperatively prepare a mutually acceptable method of compliance with the requirement for contribution to the future development of Park 2.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 175 – Z-0056-01(1)

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

9. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
10. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.

Public Works

11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
12. Gated access drives shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
13. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0056-01, the required update to the master Traffic Impact Analysis, and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****TABLED ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -

**GPA-0001-01 - SANDRA NORRIS 1990 LIVING TRUST** - Request to Amend a portion of the West Las Vegas Plan FROM: LI/R (Light Industry/Research) TO: M (Medium Density Residential) on approximately 32.5 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Washington Avenue and Robin Street (APN: 139-29-612-002), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL. **NOTE: THE APPLICANT HAS REQUESTED THAT THIS ITEM BE WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD** – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)  
6-670

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**TABLED ITEM** - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0001-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0001-01 - SANDRA NORRIS 1990 LIVING TRUST** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-PB (Planned Business Park) TO: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) on approximately 32.5 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Washington Avenue and Robin Street (APN: 139-29-612-002), PROPOSED USE: RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL. **NOTE: THE APPLICANT HAS REQUESTED THAT THIS ITEM BE WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD** – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

6-670

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0022-01 - SANDRA NORRIS 1990 LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF BEAZER HOMES HOLDINGS CORPORATION** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Plan FROM: LI/R (Light Industry/Research) TO: ML (Medium Low Density Residential) on 34.45 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Washington Avenue and Robin Street (APN: 139-29-612-002), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MARK FAULKNER, 5655 Bermuda, represented Beazer Homes Holdings Corporation. He explained that the 283-lot subdivision, located on Washington Avenue and Rancho Boulevard will be developed as a linear park system with trails, tot lots, basketball courts and 3.49 acres of open space.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 178 – GPA-0022-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

Each cluster will accommodate eight houses. On lots 1, 3, 6 and 8 there will be single story homes and on Lots 2, 4, 5 and 7, there will be two-story residences. Lots 1 and 8 will be 1,355 square feet, Lots 3 and 6 will be 1,177 square feet and Lots 2, 4, 5 and 7 will range from 1,554 to 1,859 square feet. MR. FAULKNER also gave the elevations of the homes. The one-story residences will have 9-foot elevation and the two-story homes will have a 9-foot elevation on the first floor and an 8-foot elevation on the second level.

MR. FAULKNER explained that a number of issues discussed with the neighborhood included building elevations, the subdivision's main entrance, the emergency vehicle crash gate and the block wall along Robin Street. MR. FAULKNER added that the applicant reviewed staff's recommendations and requested Condition No. 5 on the Site Development Plan be revised to a minimum of 4-feet front setback and for the six non-clustered lots, modify the side setback to 5 feet.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, alluded to a recent fire incident that occurred in a subdivision of closely built residences. He mentioned that Planning Department's recommendation is five homes for each acre. He believes it should be three homes to each acre.

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, was concerned with the 22% reduction of open space. He feels 283 homes are too much for this small area. He commented that Wards 4 or 6 would not entertain the idea of cluster homes. COUNCILMAN BROWN responded to MR. CONTRERAS' comments by saying that within Ward 4, and as part of the Lone Mountain West Master Plan, a cluster development of 12 homes per acre was approved. He wanted to stress the point to MR. CONTRERAS that the term cluster does not have a negative connotation. To validate his comments, he stated that looking at a number of communities, many people tend to choose cluster products. MR. CONTRERAS interjected that he wants to see quality homes. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD shared that cluster developments have been developed in her Ward in Summerlin. COUNCILMAN MACK announced that within his Ward approval was granted for a cluster development comprising of eight units per acre. Being an infill parcel, he felt the cluster development was a great product.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked MR. CONTRERAS for his comments, but appeared surprised that MR. CONTRERAS had not been as vocal at the neighborhood meeting. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked why the ingress and egress off of Dune Drive was so important to have. He also asked about the Washington outlet.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 178 – GPA-0022-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

Because this project is phased for 283 homes, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he would like to see an active outlet for every 100 units. CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, stated that staff would like to work with the applicant on revising Dune Drive to allow for proper termination of that and staff also recommends deleting Condition No. 16 on Item No. 181 [Z-0049-01(1)].

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked if the applicant plans to install spikes at the Washington egress location to prevent residents from entering the subdivision. MR. FAULKNER responded that a gate detector system would be installed, which can only be activated from the inside of the gate. He mentioned that another option that they are seriously looking into is installing spikes.

MR. FAULKNER clarified the height of the ceilings in response to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S query. The single story homes will have 9-foot ceilings. None of those models will have 8-foot ceilings. The two-story residences will have ceiling elevations of 9 feet on the first floor and 8 feet on the second floor. He also explained that as an added amenity, homeowners may select cathedral or vaulted ceilings at an add-on cost. Home prices range from \$112,000 to \$180,000.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY shared that he had an opportunity to look at the models and felt that the homes were not only affordable but quality products that the potential homeowners would be proud of.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 178 [GPA-0022-01], Item 179 [Z-0049-01], Item 180 [V-0054-01] and Item 181 [Z-0049-01(1)] was held under Item 178 [GPA-0022-01].

(6:68 – 7:17)

**7-1826**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING &amp; DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0022-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0049-01** - **SANDRA NORRIS 1990 LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF BEAZER HOMES HOLDINGS CORPORATION** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-PB (Planned Business Park) TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) on 34.45 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Washington Avenue and Robin Street (APN: 139-29-612-002), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Conditions No. 4 and 5 as follows:

- Dedicate appropriate right-of-way, *if necessary*, to terminate Dune Drive *on* the west edge of this *site in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works* prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site. Also, dedicate an additional 5 feet for a total radius of 20 feet and grant a traffic signal chord easement on the northwest corner of Robin Street and Washington Avenue.
- Construct appropriate public street improvements to terminate Dune Drive adjacent to the west edge of this site *in a manner acceptable to the Department of Public Works* concurrent with the development of this site. Also, remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvement meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.

- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 179 – Z-0049-01

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 178 [GPA-0022-01], Item 179 [Z-0049-01], Item 180 [V-0054-01] and Item 181 [Z-0049-01(1)] was held under Item 178 [GPA-0022-01].

(6:68 – 7:17)

**7-1826**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0022-01) to ML (Medium Low Density Residential) land use designation.
2. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Dedicate appropriate right-of-way to terminate Dune Drive adjacent to the west edge of this site in a cul-de-sac meeting current City Standards prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site. Also, dedicate an additional 5 feet for a total radius of 20 feet and grant a traffic signal chord easement on the northwest corner of Robin Street and Washington Avenue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 179 – Z-0049-01

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

5. Construct appropriate public street improvements to terminate Dune Drive adjacent to the west edge of this site in a cul-de-sac meeting current City standards concurrent with development of this site. Also, remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
6. Meet with the City Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine how sewer services will be provided to this site; because of capacity concerns, this site shall not connect to the existing Robin Street sewer line. Site development shall comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section, and the developer shall construct public sewer to service this site along an alignment and to a location acceptable to the City Engineer.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 179 – Z-0049-01

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

8. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.
9. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO GPA-0022-01 AND Z-0049-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0054-01 - SANDRA NORRIS 1990 LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF BEAZER HOMES HOLDINGS CORPORATION** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 3.49 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE WHERE 4.67 ACRES OF OPEN SPACE IS THE MINIMUM ALLOWED on the northwest corner of the intersection of Washington Avenue and Robin Street (APN: 139-29-612-002), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre], Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (3-1-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 180 – V-0054-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 178 [GPA-0022-01], Item 179 [Z-0049-01], Item 180 [V-0054-01] and Item 181 [Z-0049-01(1)] was held under Item 178 [GPA-0022-01].

(6:68 – 7:17)

**7-1826**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0049-01) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0049-01(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire in two years, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0022-01, Z-0049-01 AND V-0054-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0049-01(1) - SANDRA NORRIS 1990 LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF BEAZER HOMES HOLDINGS CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 283-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 34.45 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Washington Avenue and Robin Street (APN: 139-29-612-002), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone [PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (3-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (3-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** subject to conditions, deleting Condition No. 16 and amending Condition No. 5 as follows:

- The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of *4 feet* to the front of the garage and a minimum of *5 feet on the side setback on six (6) of the non-cluster lots.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no further discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 181 – Z-0049-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 178 [GPA-0022-01], Item 179 [Z-0049-01], Item 180 [V-0054-01] and Item 181 [Z-0049-01(1)] was held under Item 178 [GPA-0022-01].

(6:68 – 7:17)

**7-1826**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the revised site plan presented at the Planning Commission meeting.
2. The City Council shall approve a Rezoning to an R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) Zoning District.
3. If the associated Variance (V-0054-01) to open space requirements is denied, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void and a new application must be submitted.
4. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 5 feet to the front of the garage, 5 feet on the side, 8.5 feet on the corner side, and 10 feet in the rear.
6. The landscape plan be revised to depict a minimum of two tree species be utilized to enhance the variety of plantings within the interior parks. The Tentative Map for this site shall reflect this revision.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
8. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.
9. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 181 – Z-0049-01(1)

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

10. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. The applicant shall have constructed a six-foot high decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials, along the side and rear property lines. Fence heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**Public Works**

15. This site plan shall be redesigned to comply with the Title 18 – Subdivision Ordinance private street and private drive standards for the design, layout and construction of all interior private streets and private drives. The proposed hammer-head stub streets do not meet those standards. The Tentative Map for this site shall reflect compliance with such requirements.
16. The proposed access drive to Robin Street shall be relocated to align apposite to Dune Drive or be offset a minimum of 220 feet therefrom.
17. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a.
18. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 181 – Z-0049-01(1)

**CONDITIONS - Continued:**

19. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
20. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
21. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0049-01, the required Traffic Impact Analysis, and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -  
**GPA-0036-00 - SAHARA WESTLAKE ASSOCIATES** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Map of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-008, 009 and 010), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	108
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD** – Motion to bring forward and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and **HOLD IN ABEYANCE** Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the **WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice** of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

6-670

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0036-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0112-00 - SAHARA WESTLAKE ASSOCIATES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-1 (Limited Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-008, 009 and 010), PROPOSED USE: NEW MOTORCYCLE DEALERSHIP, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**108**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**M. McDONALD – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 146 [V-0046-01], and Item 154 [U-0148-89(3)] to 9/19/2001 and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 149 [V-0053-01] and Item 150 [Z-0007-61(2)] to 10/3/2001 and to accept the WITHDRAWAL Without Prejudice of Item 176 [GPA-0001-01], Item 177 [Z-0001-01], Item 182 [GPA-0036-00], and Item 183 [Z-0112-00] – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(4:58 – 5:01)

**6-670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0020-01 - DEUTSCH FAMILY TRUST ON BEHALF OF SANFORD DUETSCH ENTERPRISES** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southwest Sector Plan FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: SC (Service Commercial) on 2.5 acres on the northeast corner of the intersection of Buffalo Drive and Buckskin Avenue (APN: 138-10-301-010), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends APPROVAL if the request is amended to Medium-Low Attached. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL if the request is amended to Medium-Low Attached. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Letter of Protest from Clark Gable dated 9/4/2001

**MOTION:**

**BROWN – APPROVED subject to the added condition:**

- Approval of an amendment to Medium-Low Attached (MLA) Southwest Sector Plan designation.

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 184 – GPA-0020-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

ATTORNEY PAUL LARSEN, 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, represented the Deutsch Family Trust. ATTORNEY LARSEN explained that the original request approved by the County was to build an assisted living facility. In discussions with COUNCILMAN BROWN, it was suggested that this property be annexed into the City since it is the City who provides the services.

With the approval of the annexation, the applicant resubmitted the original plans, requesting a zone change to ML (Medium Low). The original zone change request was from R (Residential) to SC (Service Commercial). ATTORNEY LARSEN explained that with a zone change to ML, this allows the applicant to file for additional zone change and use permit. He further explained that the applicant is not quite ready to resubmit for a zone change request for SC or Special Use Permit at this time because they are redesigning the project.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, pointed out that the recommendation by the Planning Commission was for MLA (Medium Low Attached), which allows R-2 zoning to be applied.

BRAD ARTHUR, 3432 North Tioga, an adjacent neighbor, commented that the residents are in agreement with the MLA zoning; however, they were not pleased that the applicant had failed to disclose that the height of the building was three stories. Although the residents agree with the assisted living facility concept, they fear that because the applicant experienced the loss of funding, they might sell the land. The residents want to ensure that the zoning remains MLA.

MARYANN GOTHER, 3431 North Tioga Way, thanked the Planning Department and COUNCILMAN BROWN and his staff. She voiced her disapproval of the request for Service Commercial and she opposes the assisted living facility. She stated that her main concern was with the building design, which before the annexation was proposed as a two-story on top of a half basement that is underground. The facility also was approved originally as a 160-bed facility, exceeding the City's allowable 25 beds per acre. She maintains that the applicant plans to file a variance or text amendment to increase the number of beds. MS. GOTHER asked that the City Council consider the one-story structural heights of the bordering business establishments and disallow any deviations. She also recommended that the applicant submit required elevation plans to City Council.

CLARK and TEDDY GABLE, 3411 North Tioga Way, presented for the record a letter they had mailed to MAYOR GOODMAN and the City Council members detailing their opposition. MS. GABLE read the letter and stated that, as owners of two adjacent properties, they are concerned about density, building height and parking allowances. She also objected to the proposed project having balconies that would overlook the abutting properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 184 – GPA-0020-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

RICHARD CROSS, 3385 North Tioga Way, represented owners of four neighboring properties. He objected to the proposed project because his concern is that the elevation plans, as well as the fact that this would be a 24 hour/7 days a week operation, would hinder the privacy of the adjacent homeowners should the elevation plans be approved as submitted. MR. CROSS stated that the assisted living care facility is a great concept as long as it is a single story structure similar to the surrounding businesses.

RHONDA IVY, 3441 North Tioga, explained that she has had her home up for sale for about a year, having bought it in 1962. She mentioned that potential buyers always ask about the vacant lot. She felt that the City Council should strongly consider the desires and needs of the community.

ATTORNEY LARSEN stated that much of the community's concerns focused on the design of the building. He wanted to assure the neighbors that even if the GPA is approved, the applicant cannot go forward until the zone change, special use permit, site plans and elevations are approved.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked MR. GENZER whether an assisted living care facility is allowed within a MLA zone with the Special Use Permit and how many units would be permitted. MR. GENZER affirmed that the applicant could apply for R-2 zoning if the Special Use Permit would allow an assisted living facility. He further explained that the R-2 zoning carries a requirement that no building can be more than two stories or 35 feet in height whichever is less. The Planning Department's definition of story is, two [stories] could include any part of the building that is actually underground. It is defined from floor to ceiling; therefore, the applicant cannot come in with a building that is partly underground and partly above ground and then add two additional levels.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated his support of the change to MLA with a condition that it would expire after one year if the property is sold. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT explained that the application could remain at its current zoning until the GPA is applied for. MR. GENZER clarified that if a MLA zone change is approved, should the applicant or anyone else require a change, they would still need to apply for it.

In conclusion, COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that code provides for 62.5 units and he would not look favorably on any type of variance.

There was no further discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 184 – GPA-0020-01

**MINUTES - Continued:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(7:17 – 7:46)

**7-2689/8-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0025-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend the City of Las Vegas General Plan to allow parks within the PF (Public Facilities) land use category, all Wards. The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L. B. McDONALD – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

KYLE WALTON, Planning & Development, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(7:46 – 7:47)

**8-336**

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT  
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

**SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0021-01 - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: ML (Medium Low Density Residential) and FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: L (Low Density Residential) on approximately 120 acres south of Iron Mountain Road and west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-12-603-001, 125-12-501-001, and 125-12-101-006), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting and GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of KB Homes, concurred with staff conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if there was a high school proposed for this area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 186 – GPA-0021-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked KB Homes for working with staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 187 [Z-0016-98(7)] for related discussion.

(7:47 – 7:58)

**8-367**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH MASTER PLAN DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS RELATED TO GPA-0021-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0016-98(7) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF KB HOME NEVADA, INC.** - Request for a Major Modification to the Iron Mountain Ranch Master Plan Development Standards TO AMEND THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE DENSITIES IN PLAN AREAS 12, 14, 18, 19, 20, AND TO AMEND PLAN AREA 21 TO ALLOW A HIGH SCHOOL north of Whispering Sands Drive and east of Jones Boulevard (APN: 125-12-501-001, 125-12-502-001, 125-12-603-001, 125-12-202-002, 125-12-301-001, and 125-12-101-006), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to staff conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

BRIAN PSIODA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of KB Homes, concurred with staff conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 187 – Z-0016-98(7)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 186 [GPA-0021-01] for related discussion.

(7:47 – 7:58)

**8-367**

**CONDITIONS:**

Public Works

1. An update to the previously approved Traffic Access Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Access Analysis prior to occupancy of the site.
2. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0023-01 - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: S (School) on 37.6 acres on the southwest corner of the intersection of the Iron Mountain Road and the Decatur Boulevard alignments (APN: 125-12-502-001 and a portion of 125-12-501-001), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (2-0-4 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LACROIX, Clark County School District, thanked COUNCILMAN MACK and KB Homes for their assistance in relocating the high school to an area that is more suitable to the area residents. He thanked COUNCILMAN MACK for offering 10 acres of land to enable the school district to redesign the school to better serve the community. The school is scheduled to open in August 2003.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 188 – GPA-0023-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

For the record, MR. LACROIX, reviewed the condition changes. For Item 189 [Z-0052-01], he noted the changes to Conditions 4, 5, and 6. For Item 190 [Z-0052-01(1)], he noted a change to Condition 3. CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, acknowledged that all changes have been incorporated into the conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 188 [GPA-0023-01], Item 189 [Z-0052-01], and Item 190 [Z-0052-01(1)] was held under Item 188 [GPA-0023-01].

(7:47 – 7:58)

**8-367**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0023-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0052-01 - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) TO: C-V (Civic) on 37.6 acres on the southwest corner of the intersection of Iron Mountain Road and the Decatur Boulevard alignments (APN: 125-12-502-001 and a portion of 125-12-501-001), PROPOSED USE: SCHOOL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (2-0-4 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (2-0-4 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LACROIX represented the Clark County School District.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 189 – Z-0052-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 188 [GPA-0023-01], Item 189 [Z-0052-01], and Item 190 [Z-0052-01(1)] was held under Item 188 [GPA-0023-01].

(7:47 – 7:58)

**8-367**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the City Council prior to the issuance of any permits for the site.
3. The existing Resolution of Intent for this site shall be expunged with approval of this request.

Public Works

4. Petition of Vacation VAC-0008-99 shall record prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, overlying the area to be vacated whichever may occur first. Alternatively, the applicant may submit a separate Petition of Vacation to vacate those portions of Thom Boulevard which conflict with the proposed layout of this site.
5. Provide proof that the realignment of Thom Boulevard as shown is acceptable to the property owner west of this site. Dedicate or obtain dedication for 51 feet of right-of-way and appropriate radii corners at Iron Mountain Road and at Brent Lane for the for the realigned Thom Boulevard prior to the issuance of any permits.
6. Dedicate 60 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Iron Mountain Road, 60 feet for Decatur Boulevard, 25.5 feet for Brent Lane, and a 25 foot radius on the northwest corner of Decatur Boulevard and Brent Lane prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 189 – Z-0052-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

7. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Iron Mountain Road, Thom Boulevard, Decatur Boulevard and Brent Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
8. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site along a logical route concurrent with development of this site.
9. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Engineer in Public Works to extend the existing public sewer line in Decatur Boulevard north to Iron Mountain Road to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer.
10. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site.

Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 189 – Z-0052-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

11. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0023-01 AND Z-0052-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0052-01(1) - IRON MOUNTAIN RANCH ALLIANCE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Reduction of the Perimeter Landscaping Requirements FOR A PROPOSED 358,490 SQUARE FOOT HIGH SCHOOL on 37.6 acres on the southwest corner of the intersection of Iron Mountain Road and the Decatur Boulevard alignments (APN: 125-12-502-001 and a portion of 125-12-501-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone Under Resolution of Intent to R-PD5 (Residential Planned Development - 5 Units Per Acre) [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (2-0-4 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (2-0-4 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LACROIX represented the Clark County School District.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 190 – Z-0052-01(1)

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 188 [GPA-0023-01], Item 189 [Z-0052-01], and Item 190 [Z-0052-01(1)] was held under Item 188 [GPA-0023-01].

(7:47 – 7:58)

**8-367**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan, landscape plans, and building elevations.
3. Wall pack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 30 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
4. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed prior to occupancy and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 190 – Z-0052-01(1)

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
8. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0052-01 and all other site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0026-01 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend a portion of the Centennial Hills Sector Plan FROM: DR (Desert Rural) TO: PF (Public Facilities) on approximately 8.0 acres on the northeast corner of the intersection of Alexander Road and Thom Boulevard (APN: 138-01-804-001 and 138-01-804-002), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission failed to obtain a super-majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:****MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN excused****MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID ROARK, Public Works, concurred with staff conditions and asked for approval. He asked for clarification of the change to Condition No. 6 on Item No. 192 [Z-0055-01]. CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works, affirmed that the modification had been incorporated into the conditions.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 191 – GPA-0026-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, that Condition No. 2 on Item No. 192 [Z-0055-01] requires an amendment.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he met with Northwest Area Residents Association (NARA) and they were excited about this project. COUNCILMAN MACK explained that there was a significant savings relating to the design costs because most of it was done by staff with the assistance of NARA.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 191 [GPA-0026-01] and Item 192 [Z-0055-01] was held under Item 191 [GPA-0026-01].

(7:56 – 7:59)

**8-660**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0026-01 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0055-01 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on approximately 8.0 acres on the northeast corner of the intersection of Alexander Road and Thom Boulevard (APN: 138-01-804-001 and 138-01-804-002), PROPOSED USE: PUBLIC PARK, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**Hearing Officer Meeting**

**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 2 as follows:

- **A Resolution of Intent *with a two-year time limit.***
- **UNANIMOUS** with GOODMAN excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 192 – Z-0055-01

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion pertaining to Item 191 [GPA-0026-01] and Item 192 [Z-0055-01] was held under Item 191 [GPA-0026-01].

(7:56 – 7:59)

**8-660**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. The City Council shall approve a General Plan Amendment (GPA-0026-01) to a PF (Public Facilities) land use designation.
2. A Resolution of Intent.
3. A Site Development Plan Review application shall be approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

4. Coordinate with the Department of Public Works to determine the appropriate alignment for Thom Boulevard for the north leg of the intersection with Alexander Road. If appropriate, a vacation application may be required to establish the proper intersection geometrics.
5. Coordinate with the Department of Public Works to determine additional right-of-way requirements needed adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all rights-of-way recommended by the Department of Public Works.
6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Alexander Road, Thom Boulevard, Foundation Avenue, and Tuffer Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site. Improvements required on Tuffer Lane may be deferred or

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001  
Planning & Development Department  
Item 192 – Z-0055-01

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

- eliminated if the park site is expanded to incorporate the additional School District property to the east; however, if so, appropriate Vacation Applications may be required.
7. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer paths to service this site prior to the submittal of sewer-related construction drawings for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section.
  8. A Traffic Impact Analysis or other information acceptable to the Traffic Engineering Division must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.
  9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

**DB 1004 MacFARLANE STREET, NL HIDDEN DESERT WAY and TULLY AVENUE, NL 519 W. VanBUREN AVENUE, MSH-0003-01, U-0067-01 (NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.), U-0077-01(1), U-0105-01, U-0106-01, U-0107-01, U-0110-01, U-0111-01, U-0112-01, U-0113-01, V-0014-00(1), V-0031-00(1), V-0055-01, V-0057-01, V-0060-01, VAC-0018-01, VAC-0021-01 – 9/19/2001 AGENDA**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ADDENDUM:**

None.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

**CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:**

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

**MINUTES:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, commended COUNCILMAN McDONALD for listening to and backing up the residents in his ward. He expressed his concern about the bike lanes along Alta Drive being shared by bike riders and the public transportation buses. He asked COUNCILMEN BROWN and MACK to see if the Regional Transportation Commission would look into this situation. MR. FARLOW also commented on the noise situation. He stated that with plans to widen the highways, compounded by the continual sound of sirens down Stewart Avenue, the noise situation would only increase.

(7:59 – 8:02)

**8-780**

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 8:02 P.M.**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 5, 2001**

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that CITY MANAGER VALENTINE, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, and the engineers of the Public Works Department that worked on this matter attend the Special City Council/Neighborhood meeting to help clear up some of the confusion. (See Item No. 79)

MAYOR GOODMAN instructed that the comments made at this meeting be made a part of the 10/3/2001 Council meeting under the respective item. (See Item No. 79)

(9:34 – 11:14)

**1-986/2-1**

MAYOR GOODMAN directed that staff look into requiring work cards for employees of nursing and care facilities for the elderly, because there is a rash of abuse against them. (See Item No. 107)

(11:14 – 11:16)

**2-1389**

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed the following: that DR. BARBARA JACKSON, Director, Leisure Services, brief the individual Council members on the contracts that Leisure Services can enter into; that ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN research whether a for-profit mobile food vendor could go onto a City site that is BLM RP&P; and that ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN clearly delineate the difference between an ice cream truck vendor and a mobile food vendor. (See Item No. 112)

(12:54 – 1:39)

**3-1821**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHENS to query MR. REILLY about the expenditures from the 238 fund. (See Item No. 113)

(2:03 – 2:28)

**4-229**

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that the forms for the Centennial License Plates be distributed throughout City Hall. (See Item No. 121)

(3:26 – 3:29)

**4-3708**



# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 5, 2001 Notes and Directives – Continued

COUNCILMAN BROWN directed Public Works to email a chronological list of anticipated signals along Tenaya Way. (See Item 143 [MSD-0002-01])

(5:32 – 5:33)

**6-1951**

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that violations as declared by MR. RILEY must be brought to the City's attention, either through meetings or directed to the Councilman's Office. He asked that a detailed list of violations be documented to enable the City to pursue enforcement. (See Item 157 [U-0073-01])

(6:16 – 6:46)

**7-294**